Uludag Univ. J. Fac. Vet. Med. 23 (2004), 1-2-3: 9-14

Effect Of Glass Wool Filtration Method On Frozen-Thawed Dog Semen

Ülgen GÜNAY^{*} Zekariya NUR^{**} M. Kemal SOYLU^{***}

Geliş Tarihi: 07.01.2004 Kabul Tarihi: 16.03.2004

Summary: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of the glass wool filtration on motility and morphology of post-thaw quality of dog semen Two German Shepherd Dogs were used as material. Ejaculates were diluted with Tris-citric acid-egg yolk and Sodium citrate-egg yolk extenders at 1:1 ratio including a final 4% glycerol concentra-

tion. Semen were frozen in 0.5 ml straws and thawed in a water bath at 37°C for 30 sec. Pasteur pipettes were used as columns. Each column was constructed with 20, 40 and 80 mg glass wool and at 2, 3 and 4 cm heights, respectively. Filtrates were examined before and after filtration for motility, acrossmal, other and total defects. When the filtration methods were compared, the highest improvement percentage in motility was found in column filled with 40 mg glass wool. On the other hand extenders did not have any effect on motility and other morphological defects. But significantly interactions were found between spermatological parameters and filtration techniques. In conclusion, glass wool filtration techniques could be used successfully for filtration of frozen-thawed dog semen.

Key Words: Frozen dog semen- glass wool -filtration.

Dondurulmuş-Çözündürülmüş Köpek Sperması Üzerine Glass Wool Filtrasyon Yönteminin Etkisi

Özet: Bu çalışmada dondurulmuş-çözündürülmüş köpek spermasınını motilite ve morfolojisi üzerine glass wool filtrasyon yönteminin etkilerinin araştırılması amaçlanmıştır. Materyal olarak 2 adet Alman çoban köpeği kullanıldı. Ejakulatlar Tris-sitrik asit- yumurta sarısı ve Sodyum sitrat-yumurta sarısı sulandırıcıları ile finalde %4 gliserol içerecek şekilde 1.1 oranında sulandırıldılar. Sperma 0.5 ml.lik payetlerde donduruldu ve 37[°]C'lik su banyosunda 30 sn'de çözündürüldüler. Kolon olarak pasteur pipetleri kullanıldı. Her bir kolon 20 mg, 40 mg ve 80 mg ağırlığında ve sırasıyla 2, 3 ve 4 cm.lik genişlikten oluşturuldu. Filtratlar filtrasyon öncesi ve sonrasında motilite, akrozomal, diğer ve toplam defektler yönünden muayene edildiler. Filtrasyon yöntemleri karşılaştırıldığında, en iyi motilite ve ilerleme yüzdesi 40 mg.lık glass wool'dan oluşan kolonda bulundu. Diğer yandan sulandırıcıların motilite ve diğer morfolojik defektler üzerine herhangi bir etkisinin olmadığı saptandı. Fakat filtrasyon teknikleri ile spermatolojik özellikler arasında önemli ilişki bulundu. Sonuç olarak, donmuş-çözündürülmüş köpek spermasında glass wool filtrasyon tekniğinin başarılı bir şekilde kullanılabileceği sonucuna varılmıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Donmuş köpek sperması, glass wool, filtrasyon.

Introduction

Good quality spermatozoa are always desired for cryopreservation, artificial insemination and in-vitro embryo production. Many techniques such as percoll density gradients, swim up method, glass wool filtration and glass bead filtration have been used for separating non-motile and dead spermatozoa from human^{5,8,12,13,20,25} and bovine semen^{2,3,7,10,31,32}. The main purposes of several sperm selection methods have been reported to obtain highly motile spermatozoa and

^{*} Yard. Doç. Dr.; U.Ü. Vet. Fak., Dölerme ve Sun'i Tohumlama Anabilim Dalı, Bursa-Türkiye.

^{**} Araş. Gör. Dr.; U.Ü. Vet. Fak., Dölerme ve Sun'i Tohumlama Anabilim Dalı, Bursa-Türkiye.

^{****} Prof. Dr.; U.Ü. Vet. Fak., Dölerme ve Sun'i Tohumlama Anabilim Dalı, Bursa-Türkiye.

increase the yield and characteristics of spermatozoa. Dead and abnormal spermatozoa reduce the fertility rate on artificial insemination procedures and they have adverse effect on companion cells^{18,30}. Pereira et al²⁴ reported that glass wool filtration is a useful means of eliminating a proportion of the immotile spermatozoa. Because motility is an essential criteria to achieve good fertilisation.

Dog semen should not possess more than 20 to 30 % abnormal spermatozoa to achieve optimum fertility¹⁴. The fertility of dog semen before and after freezing thawing is based on parameters of semen quality such as motility, percentage of normal acrosomes and morphology²².

Previous studies were undertaken as to compare the efficiency of sperm selection methods on frozen-thawed bovine semen but practically there was no data demonstrating the effect of glass wool filtration on frozen-thawed dog semen motility and morphology

Chandrahanan et al⁴ reported an increase from 77.5% to 87.2% in good quality and 61.3% to 76.9% in poor quality crossbred bull semen after filtration through a glass wool column of a height 2 cm. The increase in motility from 43% to 62% in frozen-thawed bovine semen was lower than reported by Maki-Laurila and Graham¹⁹ who obtained 85% to 95% motility after filtration of fresh bovine semen which had rates of the motility was 30% to 40% before filtration. But no data demonstrated the effect of glass wool filtration on frozen-thawed dog semen motility and morphology.

The objectives of this study were the effects of the glass wool filtration on motility and morphology of frozen-thawed dog spermatozoa and efficiency of the filtration columns in improving semen quality.

Materials and Methods

Animals:

Two German Shepherd dogs were used in this study. Their fertility and health were known. The dogs had been sexually rested for at least a week before the study.

Semen processing, freezing and filtration:

Good quality ejaculates (at least 80 % motility, less than 20% morphological abnormalities) were collected from two German Shepherd dogs by digital manipulation in the presence of teaser bitch. Three ejaculates were obtained from each dog during 2 weeks. Semen samples were diluted with Tris-citric acid-egg volk (T-EY; Tris hydroxymethyl-aminomethane 36g/l, citric acid 19 g/l, fructose 0.5 g/l, egg yolk %20 (V/V)) and Sodium-citrate-egg yolk (SC-EY; sodium citrate 14.5g/l, glucose 12.5 g/l, glycine 9.3g/l, %20 (V/V)) extenders 1:1 ratio including final concentration 4% glycerol. T-EY and SC-EY extenders contained 20% (v/v) egg yolk. Diluted semen samples were frozen in 0.5 ml straws. Straws frozen semen from three ejaculates were pooled in two different canisters according to extenders. Straws were thawed randomly from two canisters according to extenders and filtered for three filtration groups (20, 40 and 80 mg). Total 28 straws (9,9 and 10 straws; 20 mg, 40 mg and 80 mg, respectively) were thawed in water bath at 37°C for 30 sec in this study. To separate the motile spermatozoa, frozen- thawed dog semen was filtered though the glass wool (Glass Wool Fiber, Sigma) columns. Pasteur pipettes were used as columns. Three types of filtration columns were used. Each column was constructed with 20, 40 and 80 mg glass wool weight and at 2, 3 and 4 cm heights, respectively. Then columns were rinsed one times with 0.25 ml 0.9% NaCl solution to remove loose glass wool fibres and other particles. After filtration, filtered semen samples were

collected in tubes at 37°C. Before and after filtration semen samples were examined for motility, acrosomal, other (head, mid-piece and tail) and total morphological defects. The percentage of progressively motile spermatozoa was estimated by microscopic examination at X400 magnification on pre-warmed slide. The percentages of acrosomal, other and total morphological defects were evaluated after staining with Giemsa. Thereafter, a total of 200 spermatozoa per slide were examined under light microscope (X1000) to study the sperm acrosome, other and total morphological defects.

Improvement percentage determination

The percentage of improvement in motility, acrosomal, other and total morphological defects as a result of treatment with sperm selection methods was calculated according to:

Semen parameter after treatment – semen parameter before treatment x 100 Semen parameter before treatment

Statistical analysis:

Statistical analysis of data was performed by ANOVA (SPSS, 10.0). Significance between treatment methods was determined by the "Least Significant Difference" method. All data are presented as mean \pm SD.

Results

Motility, other and total morphological defects before and after filtration are given in Table I. Effects of extender, weights of column and their interaction on the semen parameters were shown in Table II. There were no significant differences in motility rates of frozen-thawed semen before filtration. On the other hand, the highest motility (69.12 \pm 2.5%) was found with 40 mg glass wool column (p<0.05) when 20mg, 40mg and 80 mg glass wool colums were compared after filtration.

Acrosomal and total morphological defects were significantly decreased after filtration. But morphological defects were not change according to filtration techniques (Table I) .On the other hand there were statistically significant differences in other morphological defects (P<0.05) in before filtration between 40 mg and 80 mg of glass wool columns.

Table I. Motility, Acrosomal Defect, Other and
Total Defects Before and After Filtration
and Improvement Percentage in Function
of Different Columns. Data Expressed as
mean ±SD

Semen parameter	n (thawed straw)	Columns	Before filtration	After filtration	
Motility (%)	9	20 mg	51.37±2.5 ^{Ab}	61.94±2.5 ^{Ba}	
	9	40 mg	46.11±2.5 ^{Ab}	69.12±2.5 ^{Aa}	
	10	80 mg	49.50±2.4 ^{Ab}	60.50±2.4 ^{Ba}	
Acrosomal defect (%)	9	20 mg	18.20±1.3 ^{Aa}	12.35±1.3Ab	
	9	40 mg	19.20±1.3 ^{Aa}	13.0±1.3 ^{Ab}	
	10	80 mg	20.04±1.2 ^{Aa}	13.88±1.2 ^{Ab}	
Other morphological defect (%)	9	20 mg	9.90±1.1 ^{ABa}	8.0±1.1 ^{Aa}	
	9	40 mg	13.10±1.1 ^{Aa}	10.3±1.1 ^{Aa}	
	10	80 mg	9.80±1.1 ^{Ba}	8.1±1.1 ^{Aa}	
Total morphological defect (%)	9	20 mg	28.13±1.7 ^{Aa}	20.36±1.7 ^{Ab}	
	9	40 mg	32.30±1.7 ^{Aa}	23.3±1.7 ^{Ab}	
	10	80 mg	29.83±1.6 ^{Aa}	21.98±1.6 ^{Ab}	

^{a,b} Different superscript letters in the same row indicate significant differences P<0.05</p>

^{A,B} Different superscript letters in the same column within same characters indicate significant differences P<0.05</p> The highest improvement percentage in motility was found in column filled with 40 mg glass wool (Figure 1). There were no significant differences in improvement percentage of acrosomal, other and total morphological defects in all glass wool columns (Figure 1).



AD=Acrosomal defect, OMD=Other morphological defect, TMD=Total morphological defect

Figure 1: Improvement Percentage of All Semen Parameters According to Weights of Column

There were no significant interactions between extenders and filtration techniques in all spermatological parameters. But significantly interactions were found between spermatological parameters and filtration techniques (Table II).

mn
Pa-
as

	Motility	AD	OMD	TMD		
Extenders	NS	*	NS	*		
Weights of column	**	**	*	**		
Extenders x weight of column	NS	NS	NS	NS		
* P<0.05 ** P<0.001						
AD=Acrosomal defect, OMD=Other morphological defect, TMD=Total morphological defect						

Discussion

In this study, it was found that glass wool filtration method might have successfully used on frozen-thawed dog semen. Percentage of motility and morphological defects (acrosomal and total) were significantly decreased after filtration. This result indicated that glass wool filtration technique was increased to potential fertility of semen. Linde-Forsberg^{16,17} reported that there is a strong relationship between fertility post thaw motility and the percentage of normal acrosomes.

From the results of this study, we conclude that glass wool filtration method might be useful for the separation of motile spermatozoa from frozen-thawed dog semen. Potential fertility is low in dog semen when a high percentage of spermatozoa have primary and secondary abnormalities^{6,14,23}. In this study, glass wool filtration proved to be quite effective in morphologically abnormal spermatozoa. (acrosomal and total morphological defects). Glass wool columns effectively reduced acrosomal and total defects. Similar reduction of this abnormalities after glass wool filtration has been previously reported in bull^{1,4,24,31,33-35}, stallion^{28,29} and mouse¹⁵.

Our results indicated that glass wool filtration improved the after filtration motility as well as the percentage of spermatozoa with normal acrosomes and reduced abnormal spermatozoa compared with before filtration. Acrosome integrity is one of the main parameters in evaluation of fertility in dogs²². Acrosomes include hydrolytic enzymes necessary for oocyte penetration^{26,27}. The release of toxic substances by dead and abnormal spermatozoa is believed to adversely affect the fertilisation potential of their companion cells^{1,11}. Therefore, elimination of undesirable spermatozoa from frozen-thawed dog semen with glass wool filtration technique can be used for semen quality

However, we could not demonstrate a statistically significant improvement in the percentage of acrosomal, other and total defects among different columns after filtration. Effect of column filtration upon the semen quality parameters of fresh dog semen has been studied²¹. No study has documented the effect of glass wool filtration on morphological characterisation of frozenthawed dog semen. Therefore, we assumed that filtered semen might have better fertilisation potential due to higher motility, normal acrosomes and lover sperm abnormalities than nonfiltered semen.

We found the highest improvement percentage of motility was in 40 mg column. In addition, there were no significant differences in acrosomal and total defects among all columns in before and after filtration.

In this study, percentage of acrosomal, other and total morphological defects were not

affected from the height and diameter of the column. These findings were in agreement with results reported by Cisale et al³. Results of present study demonstrated that post-thaw quality of dog semen was greatly improved after filtration. In our study indicated that the glass wool method was simple but effective.

Anzar & Graham³ and Fernandez et al⁹ have proposed that retention of spermatozoa in filtration columns depends fundamentally upon individual sperm motility and on the characteristics of the fluid in which the spermatozoa swim.

In conclusion, after filtration, the filtered spermatozoa have significantly better progressive motility. The glass wool method is promising technique for selecting motile cryopreserved dog sperm and this method resulted in greater sperm percentage motility and greater percentage normal morphology. Such a method may have clinical use for semen preparation to intrauterine insemination and in-vitro fertilisation and may be an alternative for improving the low quality of fresh and frozen-thawed dog semen.

References

- ANZAR M, GRAHAM EF. Filtration of bovine semen. 1. Development of a sephadex ionexchange filter. Anim Reprod Sci. 1993; 1: 187-195.
- ANZAR M, GRAHAM EF. Effect of filtration on post-thaw quality of bull semen. Theriogenology. 1995; 43:439-449.
- 3. ANZAR M, GRAHAM EF. Role of sperm motility and acrosome integrity in the filtration of bovine semen. Theriogenology. 1996; 45: 513-520.
- CHANDRAHASAN C, PATTABIRAMAN SP, ENKATASWAMI V. Studies on the effect of wool column filtration on the quality of semen of crossbred bulls. Indian Vet J. 1986; 63: 913-918.
- CHEN MJ, BONGSO A. Comparative evaluation of two density gradients preparations for sperm separation for medically assisted conception. Hum Reprod. 1999; 14:159-764.
- CHRISTIANSEN IBJ. Reproduction in the dog and cat. W.B. Saunders, Bailliere Tindall, London, 1984.
- CISALE HO, FISCHMAN ML, BLASI CD, FERNANDEZ HA, GLEDHILL BL.Enrichment of high quality spermatozoa in bovine semen: relative effectiveness of three filtration matrixes. Andrologia 2001; 33:143-150.

- ENGEL S, WEBER H, PETZOLDT R, SEIDL B, WIEHE W, SPERL J An improved method of sperm selection by glass wool filtration. Andrologia 2001; 33: 223-230.
- **9.** FERNANDEZ HA, CISALE H, AISEN E. Mecanismo de mejoramiento de semen bovino por filtracion a traves de Sephadex. Vet Argentina 1985 ; 2: 144-148.
- FOOTE RH. Inhibition of sperm motility does not affect live-dead separation of bull sperm by glass beads. Asian J Androl. 2001; 3: 193-198.
- GOYAL RL, TULI RK, GEORGIE GC, CHAND D. Comparison of quality and freezability of water buffalo semen after washing or sephadex filtration. Theriogenology 1996; 46: 679-686.
- 12. HAMMEDEH ME, ZAVOS PM, ROSENBAUM P, SCHMIDT W. Comparison between the quality and function of sperm after semen processing with two different methods. Asian J Androl 2001; 3: 125-130.
- 13. JAROUDI KA, CARVER-WARD JA, HAMILTON CJCM, SIECK UV, SHETH KV. Percoll semen preparation enhances human oocyte fertilization in male-factor infertility as shown by a randomized cross-over study. Human Reprod 1993; 8: 1438-1442.
- JOHNSTON, S.D. Performing a complete canine semen evalution in a small animal hospital. Vet. Clin. of N. Am. Small Anim. Pract. 1991; 21: 545-550.
- 15. KHOLKUTE DS, RODRIGUEZ J, RAWLINNS RG, DUKELOW WR. Glass wool column filtration: effects on motility, viability and fertilising ability of epididimal spermatozoa from mice. Lab Anim Sci. 1994; 44: 537-539.
- LINDE-FORSBERG C. Achieving canine pregnancy by using frozen or chilled extended semen. Small Animal Practice 1991; 21: 467-485.
- LINDE-FORSBERG, C. Artificial insemination with fresh, chilled extended and frozen-thawed semen in the dog. Sem Vet Med Surg (Small Anim) 1995; 10: 48-58.
- LINDEMANN CB, FISHER M, LIPTON M.. A comparative study of the effect of freezing and frozen storage on intact and demembranated bull spermatozoa. Cryobiology 1982; 19: 20-28.
- MAKI- LAURILA M, GRAHAM EF. Separation of dead and live spermatozoa in bovine semen. J Dairy Sci 1968; 51: 965.
- 20. MAKLER A, STOLLER J, MAKLER-SHIRAN E. Dynamic aspects concerned with the mechanism of separating motile sperm from nonmotile sperm, leukocytes, and debris with use of high-density Percoll gradients. Fertility and Sterility 1998; 70: 961-966.

- 21. MOGAS T, RIGAU T, PIEDRAFITS J, BONET S, RODRIGUEZ-GILL JE. Effect of column filtration upon the quality parameters of fresh dog semen. Theriogenology 1998; 50: 1171-1189.
- 22. OETTLE EE. Changes in acrosome morphology during cooling and freezing of dog semen. Animal Reproduction Science 1986; 12: 145-150.
- 23. OETTLE E.E. Sperm morphology and fertility in the dog. J Reprod Fertil 1993; 47: 257-260.
- 24. JOHNSTON SD. Performing a complete canine semen evaluation in a small animal hospital. Canine Reproduction 1991; 21: 545-550.
- 25. PEREIRA RJTA, TULI RK, WALLENHORST S, HOLTZ W. The effect of heparin, caffeine and calcium ionophore A 23187 on in vitro induction of the acrosome reaction in frozen-thawed bovine and caprine spermatozoa. Theriogenoloy 2000; 54: 185-192.
- 26. PRAKASH P, LEYKIN L, CHEN Z, TOTH T, SAYEGH R, SCHIFF I, ISAACSON K. Preparation by differential gradient centrifugation is better than swim-up in selecting sperm with normal morphology (strict criteria). Reprod Biol 1998; 69: 722-726.
- 27. SAACKE RG, WHITE JM. Semen quality tests and their relationship to fertility. In: Proceedings of the 4 th NAAB Tech Conf Artif Insem Reprod. NAAB, USA, pp 22-27,1972.
- 28. SAACKE RG, VINSON WE, O'CONNOR ML, CANDLER JE, MULLINS J, AMANN RP, MARSHALL CE, WALLACE RA, VINCEL WN, KELLGREN HC. The relationship of semen quality and fertility: a heterospermic study. In: Proc 8 th NAAB Tech Conf Artif Insem Reprod. NAAB, USA, pp 71-78, 1980
- 29. SAMPER JC, HELLANDER JC, CRABO BG. Relationship between the fertility of fresh and frozen stallion semen and semen quality. J Reprod Fertil 1991; 44: 107-114.
- SAMPER JC, CRABO BG. Assay of capacitated, freeze-damaged and extended stallion spermatozoa by filtration. Theriogenology 1993; 39: 1209-1220.
- SHANNON P, CURSON B. Toxic effect and action of dead sperm on diluted bovine semen. J Dairy Sci 1972; 55: 615-620.
- 32. STUBBINGS RB, WOSIK CP. Glass wool versus swim up separation of bovine spermatozoa for in vitro fertilization. Theriogenology 1991; 35: 276.
- TRENTALANCE GM, BEORLEGUI NB. Sperm evaluation in cryopreserved bovine semen recovered by two selection methods. Andrologia 2002; 34: 397-403.
- 34. VYAS S, MOHAN G, DAHMI AJ, SAHNI KL. Effect of Sephadex and glass-wool column filtra-

14

tion on the quality and storage (+5°C) of crossbred bull semen. Indian J Anim Sci 1991; 61: 702-704.

- 35. VYAS S, DAHMI AJ, SAHNI KL. Effect of filtration through Sephadex and glasswool on the quality and freezability of semen of crossbred bulls. Indian J Animal Sciences 1992 ;62: 341-343.
- 36. VYAS S, MOHAN G, DAHMI AJ, SAHNI KL. Comparative evaluation of different filtration techniques for improving the semen quality of crossbred bulls. Indian J Dairy Sci 1992; 45: 237-240.