Uludağ Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakülteleri Dergisi Cilt: VI, Sayı: 2, 1991

COOPERATION, EIGENVALUES, REPELLOR AND ATTRACTOR

Aydın ALTIN*

SUMMARY

In this paper we derive some general conditions for a polygon of orientable hypersurfaces to be a repellor (respectively attractor) using modern geometric methods.

Key Words: Manifold, Hypersurface, Cooperation, Orientable. 1980 Subject Classification: 53AOB.

ÖZET

Ortaklık, Eigen Değerler, Repellor ve Attractor

Bu makalede, geometrik yöntemler kullanılarak, yön koruyan hiperyüzeylerin bir poligonu için Repellor ve Attractor olma koşullarını verdik.

INTRODUCTION

Although the matereal used in this scientific paper under the title "Cooperation, Eigenvalues, Repellor and Attractor" is geometric the result obtained cast light on many subjects such as medical sciences, population genetics, prebiotic development, differential equations, applied mathematics, physics and modern differential geometry.

^{*} Associate Professor; Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hacettepe University, Ankara-Turkey.

1. COOPERATION, EIGENVALUES, REPELLOR AND ATTRACTOR

Let us consider a C1-flow on a 2-dimensional orientable manifold M which exhibits a finite number of cyclically connected 2-hypersurfaces. Of course the flow is then not structurally stable, but such situations often occur in concrete dynamical systems (defined on compact subsets of IR^n where the boundry is invariant).

Let L be this connected invariant set consisting of n 2-hypersurfaces F_1 , F_2 , ..., F_i , ..., F_{n-1} , F_n and n connecting orbits. Since the manifold is orientable, a certain neighbourhood U of L may be embedded diffeomorphically in the space IR². Let V be the component of U/L which lies "inside" the polygon L. An orbit starting in V (Close to L) which is not closed may have L as α -or as ω -limit.

One can choose "coordinates" X_{ij} : $M \rightarrow IR$ such that $x_{ij} > 0$ in V, $X_{ij} = 0$ along the orbit connecting F_{i-1} with F_i and finally $X_{i1} \times X_{i2}$ is a diffeomorphism of a neighbourhood of F_i on to a neighbourhood of the origin in IR^2 . Then consider the vector field near the 2-hypersurface F_i : Along the orbit $X_{i1} = X_{i2} = 0$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} X_{i1} (X (t)) \sim k_{i1} X_{i1} (X(t)) \text{ near } X_{i1} = 0, \dots, \text{ and along}$$

 $X_{i1} = X_{i2} = 0$ we have

 $\frac{d}{dt} X_{i2} (X(t) \sim k_{i2} X_{i2} (X(t)) \text{ near } X_{i2} = 0, \text{ where } k_{ij} \neq 0, i = 1, ...,$

n; j = 1, 2 are the Eigenvalues at the 2-pypersurface point.

A more detailed discussion of these and other notions may be found in [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6] and [7].

1.1. Proposition. Consider the function

$$P = X^{p_{11}}_{11} X^{p_{12}}_{12} \dots X^{p_{i1}}_{i1} X^{p_{i2}}_{i2} \dots X^{p_{n1}}_{n1} X^{p_{n2}}_{n2}$$

where $p_{ij} > 0$ will be specified later which is positive on V and equal to 0 on L. Then, we have

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{P}}}{\mathbf{P}} = \sum_{i, j=1}^{n, 2} \mathbf{pij} \frac{\dot{\mathbf{X}}_{ij}}{\mathbf{X}_{ij}}.$$

- 44 -

PROOF. Differentiating the function P with respect to t we have

$$\dot{P} = P_{11}\dot{X}_{11}X^{-1}_{11}P + \dots + P_{i1}\dot{X}_{i1}X^{-1}_{i1}P + \dots + P_{n2}\dot{X}_{n2}X^{-1}_{n2}P.$$

Hence the proof is completed.

1.2. Proposition. The function

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{P}} = \sum_{i, j=1}^{n, 2} \operatorname{pij} \frac{\mathbf{X}_{ij}}{\mathbf{X}_{ii}}.$$

reduces at the i-th corner to

$$\psi(\mathbf{F}_{i}) = \mathbf{p}_{i} \lambda_{i} + \mathbf{p}_{i+1} \mu_{i}$$

where $\lambda_i > 0$ and $\mu_i < 0$ are the Eigenvalues at the saddle point F_i.

PROOF. Let F_i be the 2-hypersurface such that

$$\varphi \mid_{(x, y)} = (x, y, xy).$$

and X_1 , X_2 be the orthhonormal basis vectors of $T_{F_1}(0)$ at the origin. Let us define the unit normal vector field of F_i by

$$\xi = \frac{(-y, -x, 1)}{\sqrt{1 + x^2 + y^2}}$$

If we derivate the function $\varphi(x, y)$, we have:

$$\varphi_{\rm x} = (1, 0, y)$$

 $\varphi_{\rm v} = (0, 1, x).$

It is clear that $\langle \zeta, \varphi_X \rangle = \langle \zeta, \varphi_y \rangle = 0$ and $|| \zeta || = 1$. Hence ζ is really an unit normal vector field of the 2-hypersurface F_i . At the origin, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \phi_{x} \mid_{0} &= (1,\,0,\,0) \\ \phi_{y} \mid_{0} &= (0,\,1,\,0) \\ \zeta \mid_{0} &= (0,\,0,\,1). \end{array}$$

Then, $\zeta_{x|0} = x_1$, $\zeta_{y|0} = x_2$. Now we can find the vector S | X₁,

$$S |_{X_1} = -\nabla_{X_1} \zeta = -\zeta' (0 + tX_1) |_{t=0} = -\{\frac{(0, -t, 1)}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}}\}' |_{t=0}$$

$$S|_{X_1} = \frac{(0, 1, 0)}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}}|_{t=0} + \{(0, t, -1)(-\frac{1}{2})(1 + t^2)^{-3/2} 2t\}|_{t=0}$$

$$S|_{X_1} = (0, 1, 0)$$
 or $S|_{X_1} = X_2$.

In the same way, we may have $S | X_2 = X_1$.

Let $\alpha = aX_1 + bX_2$, $-\alpha = -aX_1 - bX_2$, $\beta = a X_1 - bX_2$, $-\beta = -aX_1 + bX_2$ be the tangent vectors of F_i at the origin. Then we find

$$k|_{\alpha} = \langle S_{\alpha}, \alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2} \langle aX_2 + bX_1, aX_1 + bX_2 \rangle = \frac{2ab}{a^2 + b^2}$$

$$\mathbf{k}|_{-\alpha} = \langle S(-\alpha), -\alpha \rangle = \frac{2ab}{a^2 + b^2}$$

$$k|_{\beta} = \langle S\beta, \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2} \langle aX_2 - bX_1, aX_1 - bX_2 \rangle = -\frac{2ab}{a^2 + b^2}$$

$$|k|_{-\beta} = \langle S(-\beta), -\beta \rangle = -\frac{2ab}{a^2 + b^2}$$

where S denotes the shape operator of the 2-hypersurface F_{j} . On the other hand, it is clear that

4

$$\begin{split} & k|_{X_1} = \langle SX_1, X_1 \rangle = \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle = 0 \\ & k|_{X_2} = \langle SX_2, X_2 \rangle = \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle = 0. \end{split}$$

From the above results we understand that there exists the Eigenvalues $\lambda_i > 0$, $\mu_i < 0$.

The function ψ (x) continous since $\dot{x}_j = 0$ for $x_j = 0$ and the vector field \dot{x}_j is C¹.

In view of the above remarks, we may write

- 46 -

$$\psi(\mathbf{F}_{i}) = \mathbf{p}_{i1}\mathbf{k}_{i1} + \mathbf{p}_{i2}\mathbf{k}_{i2}.$$

If we take, $p_{i1} = p_i$, $p_{i2} = p_{i+1}$, $k_{i1} = \lambda_i > 0$, $k_{i2} = \mu_i < 0$ we may say that the proof is completed.

1.3. Proposition. Consider n 2-hypersurfaces. Let F_1 , F_2 , ..., F_i , ..., F_n be the 2-saddles. Let L be the above polygon, $\lambda_i > 0$ and $\mu_i < 0$ the Eigenvalues of the 2-saddles and let $v = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (-\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i})$. Then L is a repellor if and only if 1 < v.

PROOF. At the i-th corner, we may write

$$\psi(\mathbf{F}_i) = \mathbf{p}_i \,\lambda_i + \mathbf{p}_{i+1} \,\mu_i$$

which is positive if and only if

$$\frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} < -\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}$$

that is

$$\psi(F_i) = p_i \lambda_i + p_{i+1} \mu_i > 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} < -\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} = -\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i} \cdot \upsilon^{-1/n} \quad < - \quad \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pi \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n (-\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}) \underbrace{\upsilon^{-1/n} \dots \upsilon^{-1/n}}_{n-\text{times}} < \prod_{i=1}^n (-\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i})$$

$$\Rightarrow \upsilon \upsilon^{-1} < \upsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 < \upsilon.$$

Then the proof is completed.

1.4. Proposition. Consider n 2-hypersurfaces. Let F_1 , F_2 , ..., F_i , ..., F_n be the 2-saddles. Let L be the above polygon, $\lambda_i > 0$ and

 $\mu_i < 0$ the Eigenvalues of the 2-saddles and let $v = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (-\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i})$. Then L is a repellor if and only if v < 1.

PROOF. Again, at the i-th corner, we have

$$\psi(\mathbf{F}_i) = \mathbf{p}_i \, \lambda_i + \mathbf{p}_{i+1} \, \mu_i$$

which is negative if and only if

$$\frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} > - \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}.$$

From this, we may find

$$\psi(\mathbf{F}_{i}) = \mathbf{p}_{i} \lambda_{i} + \mathbf{p}_{i+1} \mu_{i} < 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{\mathbf{p}_{i+1}}{\mathbf{p}_{i}} > -\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\mu_{i}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_i} = -\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i} \cdot v^{-1/n} \quad > - \quad \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i+1}}{p_{i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(-\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\mu_{i}}\right) \underbrace{\upsilon^{-1/n} \dots \upsilon^{-1/n}}_{n-\text{times}} > \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(-\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\mu_{i}}\right)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \upsilon \upsilon^{-1} > \upsilon$$

⇔ v < 1.

Hence the proof is completed.

In these proofs we have used various preliminary results which may be found in [6], [7], [8], [9] and [10].

REFERENCES

 ALTIN, A., ARSLANÖZ, A.R.: A General Cooperation Theorem for m-Polygons, Marmara University Journal, Faculty of Dental, 16, 99-100, 1987.

- ALTIN, A., ARSLANÖZ, A.R.: A General Cooperation Theorem for 3-Polygons Related with 3-Hypersaddles, Marmara University Journal, Faculty of Dental, 16, 101-102, 1987.
- ALTIN, A., ARSLANÖZ, A.R., HACISALİHOĞLU, H.H.: n-Çokgenler İçin Genel İşbirliği Teoremi, Journal of International Academic Publications, 1, 1-3, 1987.
- ALTIN, A., ARSLANÖZ, A.R., HACISALİHOĞLU, H.H.: 3-Hiper Semer Yüzeylerle İlgili 3-Çokgenler İçin Genel İşbirliği Teoremi, Journal of International Academic Publications, 1, 4-6, 1987.
- ALTIN, A., ARSLANÖZ, A.R., HACISALİHOĞLU, H.H.: A General Cooperation Theorem for 5-Polygons Related with 5-Manifolds, Journal of International Academic Publications, 1, 13-16, 1987.
- ALTIN, A., ÖZDEMİR, H. B.: The Vectors Which Form Constant Angles with The Frenet Vectors in En, Uludağ University Journal, Faculties of Education, 4, 45-52, 1989.
- ALTIN, A., ÖZDEMİR, H.B.: The Vectors which Form Constant Angles with the Frenet Vectors, Uludağ University Journal, Faculties of Education, 3, 97, 102, 1988.
- 8. ALTIN, A., ÖZDEMİR, H.B.: Spherical Images and Higher Curvatures, Uludağ Üniversity Journal, Faculties of Education, 3, 103-110, 1988.
- HOFBAUER, J.: A General Cooperation Theorem for Hypercycles, Mh. Math., 91, 233-240, 1981.
- SCHUSTER, P., SIGMUND, K., WOLFF, R.: Dynamical Systems Under Constant Organization III: Cooperative and Competitive Behaviour of Hypercycles, J. Diff. Equ. 32, 357-368, 1979.

1