Mutations in STAT3 and diagnostic guidelines for hyper-IgE syndrome

Cristina Woellner, MSc,^a E. Michael Gertz, PhD,^b Alejandro A. Schäffer, PhD,^b Macarena Lagos, MD,^d Mario Perro, MSc,^a Erik-Oliver Glocker, MD,^a Maria C. Pietrogrande, MD,^e Fausto Cossu, MD,^f José L. Franco, MD, PhD,^g Nuria Matamoros, MD,^h Barbara Pietrucha, MD, PhD,ⁱ Edyta Heropolitańska-Pliszka, MD,ⁱ Mehdi Yeganeh, MD,^j Mostafa Moin, MD,^j Teresa Español, MD, PhD,^k Stephan Ehl, MD,¹ Andrew R. Gennery, MD,^m Mario Abinun, MD, PhD,^m Anna Bręborowicz, MD,ⁿ Tim Niehues, MD,^o Sara Sebnem Kilic, MD,^p Anne Junker, MD,^q Stuart E. Turvey, MD, PhD,^q Alessandro Plebani, MD,^r Berta Sánchez, PhD,^s Ben-Zion Garty, MD,^t Claudio Pignata, MD,^u Caterina Cancrini, MD,^v Jiri Litzman, MD,^w Özden Sanal, MD,^x Ulrich Baumann, MD,^y Rosa Bacchetta, MD,^z Amy P. Hsu, BA,^c Joie N. Davis, CRNP,^c Lennart Hammarström, MD,^{aa} E. Graham Davies, MD,^{bb} Efrem Eren, MD,^{cc} Peter D. Arkwright, MD, PhD,^{dd} Jukka S. Moilanen, MD, PhD,^{ee} Dorothee Viemann, MD,^{ff} Sujoy Khan, MRCP,^{gg} László Maródi, MD,^{hh} Andrew J. Cant, MD,^m Alexandra F. Freeman, MD,^c Jennifer M. Puck, MD,ⁱⁱ Steven M. Holland, MD,^c and Bodo Grimbacher, MD^a London, *Newcastle upon Tyne, Southampton, Manchester, and Scunthorpe, United Kingdom, Bethesda, Md, Valparaíso, Chile, Milan, Cagliari, Brescia, Naples, and Rome, Italy, Medellín, Colombia, Palma de Mallorca, Barcelona, and Seville, Spain, Warsaw and Poznan, Poland, Tehran, Iran, Freiburg, Düsseldorf, Hannover, and Münster, Germany, Bursa and Ankara, Turkey, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Petah Tiqva, Israel, Brno, Czech Republic, Stockholm, Sweden, Tampere, Finland, Debrecen, Hungary, and San Francisco, Calif*

Center, University of Debrecen; and ⁱⁱthe Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Francisco.

- Supported by in part by the European grant MEXT-CT-2006-042316 to B.G., a grant of the Primary Immunodeficiency Association provided by GSK, the European consortium grant EURO-PADnet HEALTH-F2-2008-201549, the grant OTKA49017 to L.M., the foundation C. Golgi from Brescia to A.P., the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, NLM (E.M.G., A.A.S.), the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (A.P.H., A.F.F., J.N.D., S.M.H.), and federal funds from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, under contract N01-CO-12400. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the US Government.
- Disclosure of potential conflict of interest: B. Grimbacher has received research support from the European Union and the Primary Immunodeficiency Association, and is secretary of the European Society for Immunodeficiencies. J. L. Franco is vice president of Fundación Diana García de Olarte. T. Niehues has received consulting fees from Talecris Biotherapeutics, Octapharma, Behring ZLB, and Baxter. S. S. Kilic has received research support from Uludag University. A. Junker has received research support from the BS Children's Hospital Foundation, the Michael Smith Foundation, and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, L. Hammarström has received research support from the National Institutes of Health, the European Union, and the Swedish Research Council. J. S. Moilanen has received research support from the Academy of Finland. A. J. Cant has received research support from the Bubble Foundation, UK, and the Medical Research Council, and has served as an expert witness on cases of bacterial meningitis and herpes simplex encephalitis. J. M. Puck has received research support from the National Institutes of Health, NICHD, and the Jeffrey Modell Foundation, and is on the Steering Committee of the Immune Deficiency Foundation and the Expert Committee on Primary Immunodeficiencies for IUIS. The rest of the authors have declared that they have no conflict of interest.

From athe Department of Immunology and Molecular Pathology, Royal Free Hospital, University College London; ^bthe National Center for Biotechnology Information and ^cthe Laboratory of Clinical Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Bethesda; ^dCátedra de Inmunología Escuela de Medicina, Universidad de Valparaíso; ethe Department of Pediatrics, University of Milan, Fondazione Policlinico IRCCS; ^fthe Bone Marrow Transplant Unit, Ospedale Microcitemico, Cagliari; ^gthe Group of Primary Immunodeficiencies, University of Antioquia, Medellín; ^hthe Immunology Service, Son Dureta Hospital, Palma de Mallorca; ⁱthe Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Immunology Clinic, Children's Memorial Health Institute, Warsaw; ^jImmunology, Asthma and Allergy Research Institute, Children Medical Centre, Tehran University of Medical Sciences; kthe Immunology Unit, Hospital Vall d'Hebron, School of Medicine, Barcelona; ¹the Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, University Hospital Freiburg; "the Children's Bone Marrow Transplant Unit, University of Newcastle upon Tyne; ⁿthe Department of Pediatric Pulmonology, Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 3rd Department of Pediatrics, Poznan University of Medical Sciences; othe Immunodeficiency and Pediatric Rheumatology Centre, HE-LIOS Klinikum Krefeld, Heinrich Heine University of Düsseldorf; Pthe Department of Pediatric Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Uludag University, Bursa; 4the Department of Pediatrics, British Columbia's Children's Hospital and University of British Columbia; the Department of Pediatrics and Institute of Molecular Medicine A. Novicelli, University of Brescia; sthe Immunology Service, University Hospital, Virgen del Rocio, Sevilla; ^tthe Department of Pediatrics, Schneider Children's Medical Center, Petah Tiqva; "the Department of Pediatrics, "Federico II" University of Naples; "the Division of Immunology and Infectious Disease, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, University of Rome Tor Vergata; "the Department of Clinical Immunology and Allergology, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, St Anne's University Hospital, Brno: ^xthe Immunology Division, Hacettepe University Children's Hospital, Ankara; ythe Department of Pediatric Pulmonology and Neonatology, Medical School Hannover; ^zthe San Raffaele Telethon Institute for Gene Therapy (HSR-TIGET), Milan; ^{aa}the Division of Clinical Immunology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institute at the Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm; bbthe Department of Immunology, Great Ormond Street Hospital, London; ccthe Immunology Department, Southampton General Hospital; ^{dd}Paediatric Immunology, University of Manchester; ee the Department of Clinical Genetics, University of Oulu and Medical School, University of Tampere; fthe Institute of Immunology and Department of Pediatrics, University of Münster; gePath Links Immunology, Scunthorpe General Hospital; hhte Department of Infectious and Pediatric Immunology, Medical and Health Science

Received for publication November 13, 2008; revised October 2, 2009; accepted for publication October 8, 2009.

Reprint requests: Bodo Grimbacher, MD, Dept of Immunology and Molecular Pathology, Royal Free Hospital and University College London, Pond Street, London NW3 2QG, United Kingdom. E-mail: b.grimbacher@ucl.ac.uk.

^{0091-6749/\$36.00}

^{© 2010} American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2009.10.059

Background: The hyper-IgE syndrome (HIES) is a primary immunodeficiency characterized by infections of the lung and skin, elevated serum IgE, and involvement of the soft and bony tissues. Recently, HIES has been associated with heterozygous dominantnegative mutations in the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (*STAT3*) and severe reductions of T_H17 cells. Objective: To determine whether there is a correlation between the genotype and the phenotype of patients with HIES and to establish diagnostic criteria to distinguish between *STAT3* mutated and *STAT3* wild-type patients.

Methods: We collected clinical data, determined $T_{\rm H}17$ cell numbers, and sequenced *STAT3* in 100 patients with a strong clinical suspicion of HIES and serum IgE >1000 IU/mL. We explored diagnostic criteria by using a machine-learning approach to identify which features best predict a *STAT3* mutation.

Results: In 64 patients, we identified 31 different *STAT3* mutations, 18 of which were novel. These included mutations at splice sites and outside the previously implicated DNA-binding and Src homology 2 domains. A combination of 5 clinical features predicted *STAT3* mutations with 85% accuracy. T_H17 cells were profoundly reduced in patients harboring *STAT3* mutations, whereas 10 of 13 patients without mutations had low (<1%) T_H17 cells but were distinct by markedly reduced IFN- γ -producing CD4⁺T cells.

Conclusion: We propose the following diagnostic guidelines for STAT3-deficient HIES. Possible: IgE >1000IU/mL plus a weighted score of clinical features >30 based on recurrent pneumonia, newborn rash, pathologic bone fractures, characteristic face, and high palate. Probable: These characteristics plus lack of T_H17 cells or a family history for definitive HIES. Definitive: These characteristics plus a dominant-negative heterozygous mutation in *STAT3*. (J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010;125:424-32.)

Key words: Hyper-IgE syndrome, HIES, Job syndrome, $T_H 17$ cells, STAT3 mutations, diagnostic guidelines

The hyper-IgE syndrome (HIES) is a multisystem disorder characterized by eczema, skin abscesses, recurrent staphylococcal infections of the skin and lungs, pneumatocele formation, candidiasis, eosinophilia, and elevated serum levels of IgE. Nonimmunologic features of HIES include characteristic facial appearance, scoliosis, retained primary teeth, joint hyperextensibility, bone fractures after minimal trauma, and craniosynostosis.¹⁻³ In 1999, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) clinical HIES scoring system based on 19 clinical and laboratory findings was introduced.⁴ A point scale was developed: more specific and objective findings were assigned more points. Scores of at least 40 points suggested HIES, whereas a score below 20 made the diagnosis unlikely. For intermediate values, no firm conclusion could be reached.

In 2007, mutations in signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (*STAT3*) were shown to be a cause of HIES.^{5,6} STAT3 plays a key role in the signal transduction of a broad range of cytokines.^{7,8} After cytokine binding and Janus kinase activation, STAT3 is phosphorylated, dimerizes, and translocates to the nucleus, where it controls transcription of its target genes.⁹ STAT3 is crucial for the IL-6–mediated regulation of T_H17 cells that are significant producers of IL-17, a proinflammatory

Abbrevi	ations used
HIES:	Hyper-IgE syndrome
NIH:	National Institutes of Health
SEB:	Staphylococcus enterotoxin B
SH2:	Src homology 2
STAT3:	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3
SVM:	Support vector machine
LIDN-	Unique patient number

cytokine involved in the host defense of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Candida*.¹⁰⁻¹²

There are 7 publications on *STAT3* mutations reporting on 155 patients with HIES. In 141 of these patients, heterozygous mutations in *STAT3* were identified.^{5,6,13-17} Therefore, we addressed the following question: how common is a diagnosis of HIES without a *STAT3* mutation? We also asked the following question: do some features of the HIES phenotype make it more likely that a patient with HIES has a *STAT3* mutation, and can any features of the HIES phenotype predict the location of mutations within *STAT3*—that is, is there any phenotype-genotype correlation?

Because *STAT3* has 24 exons and 3 splice variants, predicting which patients are likely to have a *STAT3* mutation could save sequencing resources.

In a multicenter cohort of 100 patients with suspected HIES, we evaluated 17 of the clinical and laboratory features used in the original scoring method,⁴ the reported laboratory feature of a very low $T_H17 \text{ CD4}^+$ T-cell count, and the genetic diagnosis to develop a new scoring system aimed to discern those patients with HIES with *STAT3* mutations from those without mutations.¹⁴⁻¹⁶

On the basis of our analysis of 100 unrelated patients evaluated worldwide, we propose guidelines for a clinical assessment before a confirmation of the suspected diagnosis by laboratory and molecular analysis.

METHODS

Patients and controls

Over the period of the last 8 years, we have collected a cohort of 228 patients with the suspected diagnosis of HIES in a worldwide collaboration; 55 of these patients have been published elsewhere.^{6,13,17,18} Of the remaining patients, 100 unrelated patients fulfilled inclusion criteria for this study: signed consent form, complete NIH scoring sheet, a strong clinical suspicion of HIES according to the referring immunologist, an IgE >1000 IU/mL, and an available sample of genomic DNA or RNA. To promote uniformity of documentation across the 33 different participating centers, a scoring sheet listing the original NIH clinical symptoms was used.⁴

Of the 100 patients with the clinical suspicion of HIES, 61 were male and 39 female; the age of the patients at the time of clinical evaluation ranged between 1 and 58 years. Seventy-two patients came from Europe, 20 from the Middle East, 7 from South America, and 1 from North America.

Eighty patients had HIES scores \geq 40, suggesting that these patients probably had HIES, whereas 20 patients had scores below 40, suggesting a diagnostic uncertainty or a variant of HIES.¹⁹ Only 2 of these patients (unique patient numbers [UPNs] 133 and 134) have been described in published *STAT3* mutation reports.¹⁷ Detailed information on patients, including clinical scores and detected *STAT3* mutations, are summarized in this article's Table E1 in the Online Repository at www.jacionline.org and in Moin et al.²⁰

We applied the diagnostic guidelines, developed by using the clinical scores of the cohort of 100 patients to a replication set of 50 unrelated patients all scored by a consistent team of clinicians at the NIH. Of these 50, 33 had a

mutation in *STAT3* and 17 did not; the 33 patients with a *STAT3* mutation were from a previously published cohort.⁶ In addition, 28 patients with severe atopic dermatitis and an IgE level >1000 IU/mL were scored.

Control DNA was isolated from 100 healthy Caucasian subjects according to approved protocols. *STAT3* was sequenced in all controls to verify that the sequence changes seen in patients were not frequent polymorphisms. In addition, 12 controls were studied for their lymphocyte phenotype. All patients and controls or their parental or legal guardians provided written consent for the conducted studies following local ethics committee requirements.

PCR and sequencing

Genomic DNA and RNA of controls and patients was isolated from either whole blood or PBMCs by using the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen, United Kingdom) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was reverse-transcribed by using Omniscript reverse transcriptase (Qiagen).

Coding genomic sequences and cDNA of *STAT3* were amplified and purified by using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). Primer sequences are available on request. Purified PCR products were sequenced with the ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator cycle ready reaction kit V3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif) by using the PCR primers as sequencing primers. The sequencing was performed on a 3130xl Applied Biosystems Genetic Analyzer, and the data were analyzed with DNA Sequencing Analysis software, version 5.2 (Applied Biosystems) and Sequencher version 4.8 (Gene Codes Corp, Ann Arbor, Mich).

Cell culture and TNF- α ELISA

Monocyte-derived macrophages were generated as previously described,²¹ kept in Opti-Mem I serum free medium (Invitrogen, United Kingdom), and differentiated by adding 50 ng/mL M-CSF (PeproTech, United Kingdom) per day. After 5 days of culture, the monocytes/macrophages were preincubated with 25 ng/mL IL-10 (R&D, United Kingdom) for 1 hour and then stimulated overnight with 50 ng/mL *Escherichia coli* LPS (Sigma, United Kingdom). TNF- α release was measured by ELISA (PeproTech, United Kingdom) according to manufacturer's instructions.

Lymphocyte stimulation and flow cytometry

Blood was collected from 30 patients and 12 healthy donors. PBMCs were isolated by using Lymphoprep (Axis-Shield, United Kingdom) and viably frozen. Freshly thawed cells resuspended at a concentration of 10^6 /mL in RPMI (Lonza, United Kingdom), supplemented with 10% FCS (Gibco, United Kingdom), were exposed to *Staphylococcus* enterotoxin B (SEB; Sigma) 1µg/mL and brefeldin A (Sigma) 2.5 µg/mL 16 hours at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The cells were then surface-stained for CD4 (perinidin chlorophyll protein) and CD45RO (phycoerythrin-Cy7), followed by an intracellular staining for IL-17 (Alexa Fluor 647) and IFN- γ (fluorescein isothiocyanate). Intracellular staining was performed by using the BD Cytofix/Cytoperm Fixation/Permeabilization Kit (BD Biosciences). All antibodies were from BD Biosciences, except for IL-17, which was from eBioscience. Data were acquired on an LSR II flow cytometer and analyzed by using FACSDiva software (BD Biosciences). Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were performed with Prism 5.01 software (Prism); *P* values are 2-sided.

Statistical analysis

Univariate statistical analyses were done by using GNU R.²² For tests for which we had a previous hypothesis (eg, higher clinical score is associated with a *STAT3* mutation), *P* values were 1-sided; otherwise, *P* values were 2-sided. A Bonferroni correction was applied to each series of univariate tests.

The 100 patients in the cohort form our data set for univariate analysis and our training set for the machine learning methods described in this subsection. The gold standard for the diagnosis of *STAT3*-deficient HIES was the discovery of a heterozygous mutation (most mutations proven to be dominant-negative) in *STAT3* by using the DNA sequencing techniques described under PCR and sequencing. Table I shows the prevalence of each feature in our HIES cohort. On the basis of preliminary experiments, we found that support vector machines (SVMs) are an effective way to classify this data set. To compare feature sets,²³ we took the scores for 17 of the 19 features⁴ and calculated the leave-1-out accuracy for SVMs generated from each subset of size at least 2 and no more than 7. Because there are 41,208 such subsets, we used the software package OOQP (http://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~swright/ooqp),²⁴ which contains specialized code to generate linear SVMs. We used a prerelease version of OOQP (available from E.M.G.) that provides leave-1-out estimation and that has been optimized for speed.

We chose as candidate feature sets those 344 sets for which OOQP reported leave-1-out accuracy of better than 80%. Leave-1-out testing was performed with OOQP and SVMlight (http://svmlight.joachims.org),²⁵ as described in the subsection Statistical analyses in the Online Repository. For several feature sets, a classifier was trained by using SVMlight on the scores from our cohort of 100 patients and then applied to predict whether each of the patients in the replication set had a *STAT3* mutation.

RESULTS STAT3 mutations

Of the 100 unrelated patients with suspected HIES, 64 carried heterozygous mutations within *STAT3*. Thirty-six patients did not show any mutation in the coding regions of *STAT3* or their flanking intronic sequences. Overall, we found 31 distinct mutations: 46 patients carried previously described mutations, ^{5,6,13-17} and 18 patients harbored distinct, novel mutations. Of these 18 mutations, 8 affected the DNA-binding domain, 5 the Src homology 2 (SH2) domain, 4 the transactivation domain, and 1 the coiled-coil domain (Table II).

Five mutations, seen in 6 patients, were heterozygous mutations at the intron-exon boundaries of exons 12 or 22, affecting the DNA binding and transactivation domains, respectively. Each of these intronic mutations led to an incorrect splicing of its neighboring exon (data not shown). Three patients had 2 distinct heterozygous mutations at the 3' splice site before exon 12, and 2 patients had distinct mutations in at the 5' splice site after exon 12. Two of these 4 mutations were described by Renner et al,¹³ and the other 2 are novel. All 4 mutations cause exon 12 to be skipped, suggesting an in-frame deletion of amino acids 371 to 380.

None of 100 healthy controls carried any of the mutations listed in Table II.

To analyze the effects of the newly observed STAT3 mutation *in vitro*, we used a TNF- α release assay as described previously by Minegishi et al.⁵ We preincubated monocytes/macrophages of 10 patients and 11 healthy controls with IL-10 for 1 hour and then stimulated with LPS. Eight of the 10 patients tested had novel mutations, 1 was wild-type for STAT3, and 1 had the previously reported mutation R382Q. In healthy controls and a STAT3 wild-type patient, IL-10 reduced TNF- α release by approximately 90%, whereas in the R382Q patient, TNF- α release was reduced by only 40%. All 8 novel mutations showed a reduction in IL-10-mediated downregulation of TNF- α secretion. We compared the effect of the 8 novel mutations collectively with 11 healthy controls by a rank-sum test and reached significance (P < .0001). However, not all mutations had the same effect, suggesting that some mutations may lead to STAT3 proteins with a hypomorphic function and possibly to a milder phenotype (Fig 1).

A substantial portion (36 patients) of our cohort did not have mutations in the coding or splice regions of *STAT3*. To exclude

TABLE I. Number and percentage of patients positive for the 17 evaluated features

	all H	IES	HIES STAT	3 wild-type	HIES STAT	3 mutated
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Recurrent pneumonia	85/100	85	24/36	66.7	61/64	95.3
Eczema	90/100	90	32/36	88.9	58/64	90.6
Recurrent skin abscesses	86/100	86	28/36	77.8	58/64	90.6
Characteristic face	82/99	82.8	24/35	68.6	58/64	90.6
Failure to shed deciduous teeth	60/86	68.9	16/31	51.6	44/55	80.0
Lung cyst formation	61/97	62.9	14/34	41.2	47/63	74.6
Eosinophilia	68/94	72.3	27/36	75.0	41/58	70.7
Newborn rash	52/86	60.5	15/29	51.7	37/57	64.9
Other unusual infections	47/94	50	13/34	38.2	34/60	56.7
Increased interalar distance	37/83	44.6	10/31	32.3	27/52	51.9
Cathedral palate	41/84	48.8	12/31	38.7	29/53	54.7
Hyperextensibility	37/87	42.5	8/32	25.0	29/55	52.7
Pathologic bone fractures	32/94	34.0	5/35	14.3	27/59	45.8
Recurrent upper respiratory infections	41/92	44.6	14/33	42.4	27/59	45.8
Candidiasis	37/91	40.6	12/33	36.4	25/58	43.1
Scoliosis	20/83	24.1	7/33	21.2	13/50	26.0
Midline anomaly	12/86	14.0	5/34	14.7	7/52	13.5

Features were considered as present if more than 1 point of the NIH score⁴ was achieved. The 5 cardinal clinical features (recurrent pneumonia, newborn rash, pathologic bone fractures, characteristic face, and cathedral palate) are *shaded*.

TABLE II. Among the 100 patients studied, 64 patients had 31 distinct mutations

No. of patients	Protein domain	Site of mutation	DNA sequence change	Predicted amino acid change
1	Coiled-coil	Exon 3	c.172C>T	H58Y
1	DNA-binding	Exon 10	c.982_990dupTGCATGCCC	C328_P330dup
1	DNA-binding	Exon 10	c.1025G>A	G342D
2	DNA-binding	Intron 11	c.1110–2A>G	D371_G380del
1	DNA-binding	Intron 11	c.1110–1G>T	D371_G380del
1	DNA-binding	Intron 12	c.11391 1G>T	D371_G380del
1	DNA-binding	Intron 12	c.11391 2insT	D371_G380del
14	DNA-binding	Exon 13	c.1144C>T	R382W
2	DNA-binding	Exon 13	c.1145G>T	R382L
9	DNA-binding	Exon 13	c.1145G>A	R382Q
2	DNA-binding	Exon 13	c.1150T>C	F384L
1	DNA-binding	Exon 13	c.1166C>T	T389I
1	DNA-binding	Exon 14	c.1268G>A	R423Q
1	DNA-binding	Exon 16	c.1387_1389delGTG	V463del
1	DNA-binding	Exon 16	c. 1396 A>G	N466D
1	DNA-binding	Exon 16	c.1397A>G	N466S
1	DNA-binding	Exon 16	c.1397A>C	N466T
1	DNA-binding	Exon 16	c.1398C>G	N466K
1	DNA-binding	Exon 16	c.1407G>T	Q469H
1	SH2	Exon 20	c.1771A>G	K591E
1	SH2	Exon 20	c.1865C>T	T622I
1	SH2	Exon 21	c.1907C>A	S636Y
10	SH2	Exon 21	c.1909G>A	V637M
1	SH2	Exon 21	c.1910T>C	V637A
1	SH2	Exon 21	c.1915T>C	P639S
1	SH2	Exon 21	c.1970A>G	Y657C
1	SH2	Exon 21	c.2003C>T	S668F
1	Transactivation	Exon 22	c.2124C>G	T708S
1	Transactivation	Exon 22	c.2129T>C	F710C
1	Transactivation	Exon 22	c.2141C>G	T714A
1	Transactivation	Intron 22	c.21441 1G>A	p.?

p.?, Unknown effect on protein level.

Thirteen of these mutations were reported previously.^{5,6,13-17} The other 18 distinct mutations, which are *shaded*, have not been previously reported. The cDNA sequence positions reported are from isoform NM_139276.2. The amino acid positions are from the isoform NP_644805.1.



FIG 1. Inhibition of TNF- α release in LPS-activated macrophages by IL-10. Macrophages of nine *STAT3*mutated patients, 8 of whom had novel mutations, one *STAT3* wild-type (*wt*) patient, and 11 healthy controls were pretreated with IL-10 and then stimulated with LPS. Supernatants were examined for the presence of TNF- α . To ensure better comparability of data from different healthy donors, the impact of IL-10 on TNF- α release is shown as percentage of maximum TNF- α release on LPS stimulation.

undetected splice site variants not described in healthy individuals, we sequenced the cDNA from 25 of these patients, none of whom revealed any mutation or exon skipping. Because of the limited availability of samples, the cDNA of 11 patients could not be tested (UPNs 21, 28, 35, 38, 58, 78, 80, 82, 88, 105, and 112). Although some patients lacking *STAT3* mutations also had NIH-HIES scores below the likely diagnostic cutoff of 40 points, 20 (56%) had scores \geq 40 (Table E1).

Evaluating the value of the NIH-HIES clinical scoring system

To evaluate the NIH-HIES scoring system,⁴ we performed 3 Wilcoxon rank-sum tests to calculate the significance of the association of total clinical HIES score with mutation status. High total HIES score was strongly associated with having a mutation in *STAT3 (P* value 3.9e-07). Among those patients with a *STAT3* mutation, a high total HIES score may carry some information about whether the mutation is located in the DNA binding domain (P = .094) but was not significantly associated with having a mutation in the SH2 domain rather than elsewhere in *STAT3* (see this article's Table E2 in the Online Repository at www.jacionline.org).

We evaluated whether any cutoff value for the NIH-HIES score would be useful for predicting whether a patient has a *STAT3* mutation. Using the rule "choose the largest threshold T that gives the smallest error," leave-1-out testing gave 23% error, 75% sensitivity, and 80.1% selectivity. The optimal cutoff was T = 49.5. On the replication set of 50 patients, the cutoff T = 49.5 has a sensitivity of 97.0% but a selectivity of 58.8%. Therefore we sought a smaller and more precise set of features than the NIH-HIES score. These seemingly competing objectives could be achieved with a linear support vector machine.

Set of clinical features best predicting the genotype

In search of a minimal set of clinical features associated with *STAT3* mutation-positive HIES, we prospectively scored the 100 patients according to the NIH-HIES method⁴ and performed leave-1-out error estimates for all feature sets tested.

There were 12 sets with a leave-1-out accuracy of 85% (see this article's Table E3 in the Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). By logistic regression of the individual features occurring in at least 2 feature sets, pneumonia (P = .002), lung cysts (P = .001), and characteristic face (P = .002) were positively associated with a *STAT3* mutation. Of the 12 sets, 1 had 5 features, and all other sets had more than 5 features. These 5 features are (1) pneumonia, (2) newborn rash, (3) pathologic fractures, (4) characteristic face of Job syndrome, and (5) cathedral palate. Notably, a patient with HIES does not need to have severe scores for all 5 features to get a total score above the threshold of 30 points for predicting a *STAT3* mutation (see this article's Table E4 in the Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). More information about the 5 features chosen for the STAT3 score is provided in a subsection of the same name in the Online Repository.

Although some clinical features may take years to develop, the misclassification rate on young children (5/37 for age 11 years and younger; 2/17 for age 7 years and younger) was comparable



FIG 2. Percentage of IL-17 (**A**) and IFN- γ (**B**) expressing CD4⁺ memory T cells, determined by intracellular cytokine expression after overnight stimulation with SEB. Each *symbol* represents the value from an individual donor or patient. Statistical significance was determined with a Wilcoxon rank-sum test. *P* values are 2-sided. Median values are shown as *horizontal bars. wt*, Wild-type.

ABLE III. Percentages	of IL-17 and IFN-	y expressing CD4 ⁺	memory	T cells in 30 anal	vzed patients
	•······				/

UPN	Sex	Age of scoring	Origin	DNA sequence change	Predicted amino acid change	Domain	NIH score*	STAT3 score†	% of CD45RO ⁺ IL17 ¹ IFN-γ ⁻ cells	% of CD45RO ⁺ IL17 ⁻ IFN-γ ⁺ cells	Candidiasis
110	F	28	EU	c.1025G>A	G342D	DNA-binding	79	63.33	0.27	11.44	Yes
114	М	22	EU	c.11102A>G	D371_G380del	DNA-binding	70	50.00	0.32	5.57	Yes
86	М	13	EU	c.11101G>T	D371_G380del	DNA-binding	83	76.67	0.13	5.80	Yes
17	F	25	EU	c.11391 1G>T	D371_G380del	DNA-binding	65	41.66	0.33	1.43	Yes
108	М	14	EU	c.11191 2insT	D371_G380del	DNA-binding	54	31.67	0.22	3.51	Yes
15	М	29	EU	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	76	63.33	0.21	15.76	Yes
19	М	15	EU	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	63	48.33	0.71	5.88	Yes
126	F	11	EU	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	58	40	0.12	7.17	Yes
128	F	3	EU	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	29	38.33	0.53	1.73	Yes
60	М	29	ME	c.1150T>C	F384L	DNA-binding	71	55	0.68	1.33	Yes
118	F	32	EU	c.1268G>A	R423Q	DNA-binding	59	40	0.19	5.43	No
71	М	24	EU	c.1907C>A	S636Y	SH2	71	40	0.31	2.36	Yes
16	F	39	EU	c.1909G>A	V637M	SH2	68	55	0.18	8.9	Yes
115	М	19	EU	c.1909G>A	V637M	SH2	56	68.33	0.72	5.72	ND
125	Μ	16	EU	c.1909G>A	V637M	SH2	58	45	0.22	7.79	No
18	М	23	EU	c.2129T>C	F710C	Transactivation	58	40	0.46	11.41	Yes
116	Μ	8	EU	c.2141C>G	T714A	Transactivation	63	50	0.4	5.59	Yes
1	М	19	EU	No mutation			72	63.33	0.25	2.45	Yes
4	Μ	17	EU	No mutation			60	35	0.79	10.46	Yes
9	М	30	EU	No mutation			49	25	0.69	1.49	Yes
10	Μ	59	EU	No mutation			29	25	0.18	0.65	No
14	F	34	EU	No mutation			47	21.67	0.28	1.8	No
20	F	9	EU	No mutation			32	21.67	1	3.28	No
22	F	18	EU	No mutation			43	25	0.54	2.53	Yes
54	Μ	21	EU	No mutation			29	20	0.83	1.01	Yes
69	М	25	EU	No mutation			61	48.33	1.03	4.06	Yes
97	Μ	5	EU	No mutation			39	20	0.81	3.56	Yes
113	F	5	EU	No mutation			39	20	0.73	0.67	No
124	Μ	1	EU	No mutation			22	5	1.21	0.47	ND
127	F	7	EU	No mutation			49	26.67	0.77	3.8	Yes

EU, Europe; F, female; M, male; ME, Middle East; ND, not determined.

Patients with less than 0.5% CD45RO⁺ IL17⁺ IFN- γ^{-} or 5% CD45RO⁺ IL17⁻ IFN- γ^{+} cells are *shaded*.

* Scoring system described in Grimbacher et al.4

† Weighted score based on the 5 cardinal features (recurrent pneumonia, newborn rash, pathologic bone fractures, a characteristic face, and a cathedral palate) shown in Table E4. A total number of scaled points greater than 30.0 predicts a STAT3 mutation.

to those of the entire cohort (see this article's Table E5 in the Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). Therefore, this set of diagnostic features can also be applied to children suspected of mutations in *STAT3*.

We applied the score on a control cohort of 28 patients with atopic dermatitis and IgE levels of >1000 IU/mL, and all had a weighted STAT3 score of <30 points.

In leave-1-out testing, this set of clinical features in our cohort of patients with suspected HIES had a sensitivity of 87.5% for predicting presence of a *STAT3* mutation, a specificity of 80.6%, and an error rate of 15%. On the replication set of 50 patients, this same set of features had a sensitivity of 93.9%, a specificity of 70.6%, and an error rate of 14%. Increasing the number of features did not improve the quality of our model.

None of the 11 features shown in Table E3 was significantly associated with the domain in which the *STAT3* mutation was located, hence no phenotype-genotype correlation can be reported.

Using $T_H 17$ cells in HIES as a diagnostic marker: IL-17 and IFN- γ production by CD4⁺T cells in HIES

The induction of $T_H 17$ cells after stimulation with either the superantigen SEB or the mitogen phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate has been reported to be impaired in patients with HIES with *STAT3* mutations.¹³⁻¹⁷ We analyzed the level of $T_H 17$ cells among the PBMCs in 30 of our 100 patients with HIES and in 12 control subjects. Seventeen of these patients had mutations in *STAT3*, and 13 did not. Thirteen of the 17 patients harboring mutations in *STAT3* had less than 0.5% of IL-17–producing CD4⁺ T cells. In contrast, all but 1 of our healthy donors had a frequency of more than 1%. The 13 patients without mutations in *STAT3* had

significantly more IL-17–producing T cells than the STAT3-deficient patients (P = .003), but significantly fewer than the healthy controls (P = .0001); only 3 of these 13 patients had an extremely low frequency of IL-17–producing T cells, less than 0.5% (Fig 2). No correlation among the lack of T_H17 cells, the incidence of *Candida* infections, or the sex of the patients has been observed (Table III).

We measured the frequency of SEB-induced IFN- γ -producing CD4⁺ T cells from PBMCs of the same set of subjects (Fig 2). Interestingly, the patients without a *STAT3* mutation had significantly fewer IFN- γ -producing CD4⁺ T cells than did healthy controls (P = .0001). Of the 13 patients lacking *STAT3* mutations, only 1 had >5% IFN- γ -producing CD4⁺ T cells. Patients with HIES carrying *STAT3* mutations also had significantly lower frequencies of IFN- γ -producing CD4⁺ T cells than healthy controls (P = .02) but significantly higher frequencies than patients without *STAT3* mutation (P = .007).

We conclude that the lack of $T_H 17$ cells is a useful predictive marker for heterozygous mutations in *STAT3*.

DISCUSSION

In our cohort of 100 patients with suspected HIES, we found 18 novel mutations in *STAT3*. Because these were spread over the whole gene, it appears necessary to sequence the entire *STAT3* gene to exclude a possible mutation, an expensive process because of the size of the gene (Fig 3). However, the relative frequencies of mutations in Table II suggest that a cost-conscious strategy would be to sequence either cDNA or genomic DNA of *STAT3* in the following order: (1) the exons and intron junctions of the DNA-binding domain, (2) the exons and intron junctions of



FIG 3. Schematic structure of STAT3. Every *black dot* represents 1 patient carrying the mutation. Patients who carry *STAT3* mutations and who were described in previous publications are shown in the *upper part* of the figure.^{5,6,13-17} The 64 patients identified in this study who had *STAT3* mutations are shown in the *lower part*. The patient carrying a splice site mutation after exon 22 is shown as having DNA sequence change because the effect on the protein is not known. *p.?*, Unknown effect on protein level.

the SH2 domain, (3) the exons and intron junctions of the transactivation domain, and (4) the rest of the coding sequences. In all patients with HIES with detected *STAT3* mutations, only 1 allele was affected, consistent with the observation that complete loss of STAT3 leads to early embryonic death in STAT3 knockout mice.²⁶

Mutations in the promoter region are possible, but are unlikely to cause HIES when present in heterozygosity because they will not exert a dominant-negative effect, which seems necessary for the HIES phenotype. ⁵

Although more than 90% of the mutations we found are located in the exons of STAT3, we found 5 mutations at the intron-exon boundaries. Four of these mutations caused an inframe deletion of the 10 amino acids encoded by exon 12. The effect of the splice site mutation on exon 22 is more complex. In healthy individuals, alternative splicing of exon 23 results in 2 major isoforms of STAT3: STAT3 α and STAT3 β . Whereas STAT3 α contains the entire exon 23, STAT3 β lacks the first 50 bp of the same exon.²⁷ The splice site introduced by the mutation 1 nucleotide after exon 22 causes the 43-bp exon to be skipped. In the wild-type STAT3 α transcript, this deletion would result in a frame shift and possibly nonsense mediated decay. However, in conjunction with the shortened exon 23 of STAT3B, this deletion is predicted to produce a transcript coding for STAT3 α with an in-frame deletion of amino acids 701 to 732, which would encompass both the tyrosine and serine phosphorylation sites of STAT3 α (see this article's Figure E1 in the Online Repository at www.jacionline.org).

All 8 novel mutations that we tested deteriorated the suppression of LPS-induced production of TNF- α by the IL-10/Janus kinase/STAT3 pathway in the patients' macrophages. Compared with the most prevalent mutation affecting the DNA-binding domain of *STAT3* (R382Q), this effect was less prominent in some of the novel mutations. This result could reflect the fact that some mutations have a different impact on the retained functionality of the STAT3 dimerization, nuclear translocation, or transcriptional activation.

We confirm that patients with HIES carrying *STAT3* mutations have significantly reduced numbers of IL-17–producing $CD4^+ T$ cells. On the basis of these data, we suggest that T_H17 cells may be used as an additional marker to distinguish between patients with HIES with or without *STAT3* mutations.

Patients without *STAT3* mutations had a striking reduction of IFN- γ -producing CD4⁺ T cells. This cytokine imbalance has been previously described in HIES and may be explained by an intrinsic T-cell defect.²⁸ In our HIES cohort of *STAT3* wild-type patients, only 3 of 13 had less than 0.5% IL-17-producing T cells. These 3 patients may have defects in other proteins involved in STAT3 signaling or in the differentiation of T_H17 cells.

Proposed set of diagnostic features for *STAT3*mutant HIES

Hyper-IgE syndrome with mutations in *STAT3* is transmitted as an autosomal-dominant trait. Therefore, *STAT3*-mutated patients with HIES have a 50% risk of transmitting the disease to each of their offspring.^{4,6} Thus, a positive family history contributes to the risk of having HIES. In addition clinical features have been observed to accumulate over time as affected children get older.¹ Hence, any diagnostic algorithm is likely to underdiagnose young patients. However, adding an age feature did not improve our SVM classifier.

On the basis of data from our multicenter cohort, we propose the following diagnostic guidelines for *STAT3*-mutant HIES:

- Possible: IgE ≥1000 IU/mL plus a weighted score of clinical features >30 based on recurrent pneumonia, newborn rash, pathologic bone fractures, characteristic face, and high palate (Table E4).
- Probable: These characteristics plus lack of T_H17 cells or a family history for definitive HIES.
- Definitive: These characteristics plus a dominant-negative heterozygous mutation in *STAT3*.

We caution, however, that none of the scores should be used to keep physicians from pursuing a molecular diagnosis in a particular patient. Patients with HIES accrue findings over time. Aggressive treatment with antibiotics can forestall infectious complications that would be diagnostic if allowed to occur. Moreover, the unique feature of HIES that abscesses or other infections are "cold," or not accompanied by a normal intensity of pain or inflammation, has not been captured by any score, but should increase clinical suspicion. HIES scoring will not replace good clinical judgment but may help the diagnostic process.

The aim of the proposed guidelines is to discern patients with HIES carrying mutations in *STAT3* to facilitate time-saving and resource-saving diagnosis of patients, thereby leading to an early and effective treatment. This screening tool will need to be evaluated and updated as new knowledge is revealed and new mutations causing HIES are identified. We acknowledge that most clinicians will continue to diagnose HIES with its key clinical features such as recurrent pneumonia, lung cysts, typical facies, retention of primary teeth, and pathological fractures. When it comes to the question whether to evaluate *STAT3*, however, this scoring should be used.

We thank the patients and their families, and we acknowledge the help of J. Milner in improving our T_H 17-cell staining.

Clinical implications: These diagnostic guidelines for STAT3deficient HIES will facilitate time-saving and resource-saving diagnosis of the patients, thereby leading to an early and effective treatment.

REFERENCES

- Grimbacher B, Holland SM, Gallin JI, Greenberg F, Hill SC, Malech HL, et al. Hyper-IgE syndrome with recurrent infections—an autosomal dominant multisystem disorder. N Engl J Med 1999;340:692-702.
- Davis SD, Schaller J, Wedgwood RJ. Job's syndrome: recurrent, "cold", staphylococcal abscesses. Lancet 1966;287:1013-5.
- Buckley RH, Wray BB, Belmaker EZ. Extreme hyperimmunoglobulinemia E and undue susceptibility to infection. Pediatrics 1972;49:59-70.
- Grimbacher B, Schäffer AA, Holland SM, Davis J, Gallin JI, Malech HL, et al. Genetic linkage of hyper-IgE syndrome to chromosome 4. Am J Hum Genet 1999;65: 735-44.
- Minegishi Y, Saito M, Tsuchiya S, Tsuge I, Takada H, Hara T, et al. Dominant-negative mutations in the DNA-binding domain of STAT3 cause hyper-IgE syndrome. Nature 2007;448:1058-62.
- Holland SM, DeLeo FR, Elloumi HZ, Hsu AP, Uzel G, Brodsky N, et al. STAT3 mutations in the hyper-IgE syndrome. N Engl J Med 2007;357:1608-19.
- Murray PJ. The JAK-STAT signaling pathway: input and output integration. J Immunol 2007;178:2623-9.
- 8. Reich NC, Liu L. Tracking STAT nuclear traffic. Nat Rev Immunol 2006;6:602-12.
- 9. Levy DE, Lee CK. What does Stat3 do? J Clin Invest 2002;109:1143-8.

- Dong C. T_H17 cells in development: an updated view of their molecular identity and genetic programming. Nat Rev Immunol 2008;5:337-48.
- Huang W, Na L, Fidel PL, Schwarzenberger P. Requirement of interleukin-17A for systemic anti-Candida albicans host defense in mice. J Infect Dis 2004;190:624-31.
- Happel KI, Dubin PJ, Zheng M, Ghilardi N, Lockhart C, Quinton LJ, et al. Divergent roles of IL-23 and IL-12 in host defense against *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. J Exp Med 2005;202:761-9.
- Renner ED, Rylaarsdam S, Aňover-Sombke S, Rack AL, Reichenbach J, Carey JC, et al. Novel signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) mutations, reduced T_H17 cell numbers, and variably defective STAT3 phosphorylation in hyper-IgE syndrome. Allergy Clin Immunol 2008;122:181-7.
- Milner JD, Brenchley JM, Laurence A, Freeman AF, Hill BJ, Elias KM, et al. Impaired T_H17 cell differentiation in subjects with autosomal dominant hyper-IgE syndrome. Nature 2008;452:773-6.
- Ma CS, Chew GYJ, Simpson N, Priyadarshi A, Wong M, Grimbacher B, et al. Deficiency of Th17 cells in hyper IgE syndrome due to mutations in *STAT3*. J Exp Med 2008;205:1551-7.
- de Beaucoudrey L, Puel A, Filipe-Santos O, Cobat A, Ghandil P, Chrabieh M, et al. Mutations in *STAT3* and *IL12RB1* impair the development of human IL-17-producing T cells. J Exp Med 2008;205:1543-50.
- Jiao H, Tóth B, Erdős M, Fransson I, Rákóczi E, Balogh I, et al. Novel and recurrent *STAT3* mutations in hyper-IgE syndrome patients from different ethnic groups. Mol Immunol 2008;46:202-6.
- Renner ED, Puck JM, Holland SM, Schmitt M, Weiss M, Frosch M, et al. Autosomal recessive hyperimmunoglobulin E syndrome: a distinct disease entity. J Pediatr 2004;144:93-9.

- Grimbacher B, Holland SM, Puck JM. Hyper-IgE syndromes. Immunol Rev 2005; 203:244-50.
- Moin M, Farhoudi A, Movahedi M, Rezaei N, Pourpak Z, Yeganeh M, et al. The clinical and laboratory survey of Iranian patients with hyper-IgE syndrome. Scand J Infect Dis 2006;38:898-903.
- Buettner M, Meinken C, Bastian M, Bhat R, Stössel E, Faller G, et al. Inverse correlation of maturity and antibacterial activity in human dendritic cells. J Immunol 2005;174:4203-9.
- R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2006.
- Vapnik V. The nature of statistical learning theory. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1996.
 Gertz EM, Wright SJ. Object-oriented software for quadratic programming. ACM TOMS 2003;29:58-81.
- Joachims T. Making large-scale SVM learning practical. In: Schölkopf B, Burges C, Smola A, editors. Advances in kernel methods—support vector learning. Cambridge: MIT Press; 1999. p. 41-56.
- Takeda K, Noguchi K, Shi W, Tanaka T, Matsumoto M, Yoshida N, et al. Targeted disruption of the mouse *Stat3* gene leads to early embryonic lethality. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1997;94:3801-4.
- 27. Maritano D, Sugrue ML, Tininini S, Dewilde S, Strobl B, Fu XP, et al. The STAT3 isoforms α and β have unique and specific functions. Nat Immunol 2004;5:401-9.
- 28. Netea MG, Kullberg BJ, van der Meer JWM. Severely impaired IL-12/IL-18/ IFN γ axis in patients with hyper IgE syndrome. Eur J Clin Invest 2005;35: 718-21.

METHODS Statistical analysis

To perform leave-1-out testing, OOQP first trains an SVM by using the full set of observations and a relatively stringent termination tolerance of 10^{-5} . If an observation is not a support vector of the SVM trained on the full set of observations, then omitting that observation and retraining the SVM produces the same linear classifier. Thus, we needed only to retrain the SVM using those observations that were support vectors—that is, those observations with an approximate Lagrange multiplier of at least 10^{-4} . We retrained the SVM to the more relaxed tolerance of 10^{-3} when computing individual leave-1-out trials.

We chose as candidate feature sets those 344 sets for which OOQP reported leave-1-out accuracy of better than 80%. We repeated leave-1-out testing on these sets by using SVMlight (http://svmlight.joachims.org),²⁴ another package for generating SVMs, because OOQP and SVMlight use different termination criteria that are not entirely comparable. We programmed scripts to perform leave-1-out testing by calling SVMlight repeatedly.

For several feature sets, we tested the accuracy of the classifier generated by SVMlight on a replication set of 50 patients from NIH. In each of these tests, a classifier was trained by using the scores from our cohort of 100 patients and then applied to predict whether each of the 50 patients in the replication set had a *STAT3* mutation.

Both OOQP and SVMlight were run with a penalty of 1.0. Otherwise default values were used for SVMlight. By default, SVMlight uses a termination tolerance of 10^{-3} .

Set of clinical features best predicting the genotype

We found 12 feature sets with a leave-1-out accuracy of 85%. Of these, 1 had 5 features, 4 had 6 features each, and 7 consisted of 7 features. Table E3 shows the features that occurred more than once in the top feature sets. Pathological fractures and characteristic face occurred in all 12 sets. Moreover, at least 1 of the 2 related features, lung cysts or pneumonia, also occurred in each set. By logistic regression, pneumonia (P = .002), lung cysts (P = .001), and characteristic face (P = .002) were significantly positively associated with a *STAT3* mutation. The SVM weights for these 4 features were always positive in the sets in which they occurred. Midline congenital anomalies and upper respiratory tract infections were assigned negative weights by the SVM each time they occurred. Neither of these features achieved statistical significance in logistic regression.

Two of the 5 features in the best all-positive set were immunologic, and 3 were not. Importantly, criteria for these features were strict. Pneumonia was positive only if proven by radiograph; a newborn rash had to occur in the first 3 weeks of life; pathologic fractures were radiograph-proven fractures after unrecognized or minor trauma, defined as, for example, a fall from less than twice the patient's height; the characteristic facial features were assessed by an immunologist familiar with HIES; and a cathedral palate was defined as a palate higher than twice the height of a molar tooth.



FIG E1. Two alternative splicing sites, 1 between exons 21 and 22 and 1 between exons 22 and 23, result in 3 alternative wild-type transcripts: 2 STAT3 α transcripts (NM_139276, NM_003150) and 1 STAT3 β (NM_213662) transcript. The splice site introduced by the mutation 1 nucleotide after exon 22 causes the 43-bp exon 22 to be skipped. In mutant variants of NM_139276 and NM_003150, this deletion would result in a frame shift and a premature stop-codon. In conjunction with the 50-bp shorter exon 23 of STAT3 β , the deletion of exon 22 is predicted to produce a transcript that codes for a variant of STAT3 α with an in-frame deletion of 31 amino acids. The amino acid sequences of the STAT3 α transcripts shared with the mutated STAT3 β transcript are highlighted in *pink*.

TABLE E1. Clinical findings and STAT3 mutations of the 100 analyzed patients

UPN	Sex	Age of scoring	Origin	DNA sequence change	Predicted amino acid change	Domain	NIH score•	STAT3 deficiency score†	Recurrent skin abscesses	Recurrent pneumonia	Lung cyst formation	Other unusual infections	Eosinophilia	Newborn rash	Eczema	Recurrent upper respiratory tract infections	Candidiasis	Failure to shed deciduous teeth	Scoliosis	Pathologic bone fractures	Hyperextensibility	Characteristic face for Job syndrome	Increased interalar distance	Cathedral palate	Midline anomaly
94	F	10	EU	c.172C>T	H58Y	Coiled-coil	42	8.33	Yes	No	No	No	<700	Yes	Severe	3	No	>3	<10°	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
70	F	33	EU	c.982 990dup	G342D C328 P330dup	DNA-binding DNA-binding	41	31.67	Yes	Yes	No	No	<700	No	Moderate	4-0	Oral	2	10-14°	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
7	F	12	EU	c.1110-2A>G	D371_G380del	DNA-binding	53	36.67	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	>800	No	Moderate	1-2	Nail	2	<10°	No	Yes	Yes	ND	ND	No
114	М	22	EU	c.1110-2A>G	D371_G380del	DNA-binding	70	50.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	Yes	Severe	4-6	Oral	ND	10-14°	ND	ND	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
86	М	12	EU	c.1110-1G>T	D371_G380del	DNA-binding	83	76.67	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<700	Yes	Severe	4-6	Oral	>3	10-14°	Yes	Yes	Yes	ND	Yes	Yes
17	F	24	EU	c.1139+1G>T	D371_G380del	DNA-binding	65	41.66	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	700-800	ND	Severe	1-2	Nail	>3	ND	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
108	M	14	EU	c.1139+2insT	D371_G380del	DNA-binding	54	31.67	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	No	Moderate	1-2	Systemic	>3	<10°	No	NO	Mild	No	Yes	No
19	M	14	EU	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	63	48.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<700	Yes	Severe	1-2	Nail	>3	<10°	Yes	Yes	Mild	Yes	Yes	No
29	F	28	SA	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	64	45.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	Yes	Moderate	4-6	Nail	>3	<10°	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
31	М	12	SA	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	57	41.67	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	Yes	Moderate	4-6	Nail	3	ND	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
43	М	20	ME	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	62	40.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	ND	Yes	Severe	4-6	Oral	>3	<10°	No	Yes	Mild	Yes	Yes	No
56	М	15	EU	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	59	58.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	>800	Yes	Severe	ND	No	>3	<10°	Yes	No	Yes	ND	ND	No
63	M	27	ME	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	61	35.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	>800	Yes	Mild	1-2	Nail	>3	<10°	No	Yes	Mild	No	No	No
126	M E	17	ME	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	58	45.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	Yes	Severe	ND 3	ND	>3	15-20°	ND	Yes	Yes	Yes	ND	ND
128	F	2	EU	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	29	38.33	Yes	Yes	No	No	<700	No	Moderate	1-2	Nail	0	<10°	Yes	No	Mild	Yes	No	No
129	М	10	EU	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	64	68.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<700	No	Severe	1-2	Systemic	>3	<10°	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
133	F	40	EU	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	74	71.67	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	>800	Yes	Mild	4-6	Nail	0	10-14°	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
134	М	5	EU	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	48	40.00	Yes	Yes	No	No	>800	Yes	Severe	1-2	Oral	0	<10°	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
135	M	7	EU	c.1144C>T	R382W	DNA-binding	60	50.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	700-800	Yes	Severe	3	Oral	ND		No	Yes	Yes	ND	Yes	No
79	F	7	EU	c.1145G>T	R382L	DNA-binding	56	58.33	Yes	Yes	No	No	>800	Yes	Severe	3	Nail	3 ND	<10°	Yes	ND No	Yes	ND	ND	No
25	F	11	EU	c.1145G>A	R3820	DNA-binding	43	48.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<700	Yes	Severe	>6	Systemic	>3	<10°	Yes	Yes	Mild	No	No	No
37	F	6	EU	c.1145G>A	R382Q	DNA-binding	46	41.66	No	Yes	No	No	<700	ND	Severe	>6	No	>3	<10°	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
44	М	9	ME	c.1145G>A	R382Q	DNA-binding	62	35.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	700-800	Yes	Moderate	>6	ND	>3	<10°	No	Yes	Mild	Yes	No	No
47	F	24	ME	c.1145G>A	R382Q	DNA-binding	62	53.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	Yes	Severe	1-2	No	ND	ND	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	ND
61	M	14	ME	c.1145G>A	R382Q	DNA-binding	57	41.66	Yes	Yes	Yes	ND	ND	No	Severe	>6	Nail	>3	ND	No	No	Yes	ND	Yes	No
72	M	19	ME	c.1145G>A	R382Q	DNA-binding	60	28.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	ND	700-800	Yes	Severe	4-6	Systemic	>3	ND	ND	ND	No	Yes	ND	ND
92	M	12	ME	c.1145G>A	R382Q	DNA-binding	50	45.00	Ves	Yes	Ves	ND	<700	Ves	Severe	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	Yes	Ves	Ves	No	ND
95	F	15	EU	c.1145G>A	R382O	DNA-binding	48	40.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	700-800	ND	Moderate	1-2	ND	ND	ND	Yes	Yes	Mild	Yes	ND	ND
6	F	50	EU	c.1150T>C	F384L	DNA-binding	54	58.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<700	Yes	Severe	ND	No	0	10-14°	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
60	М	29	ME	c.1150T>C	F384L	DNA-binding	71	55.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	ND	Mild	>6	Nail	>3	<10°	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	ND
65	М	23	ME	c.1166C>T	T389I	DNA-binding	52	45.00	Yes	Yes	No	No	>800	Yes	Severe	1-2	Nail	2	<10°	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
118	F	31	EU	c.1268G>A	R423Q	DNA-binding	59	40.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<700	No	Severe	4-6	No	0	10-14°	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
130	F	14	EU	c.1387_1389del	V463del	DNA-binding	56	41.66	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	No	Moderate	1-2	No	>3	<10°	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
20	F	30	EU	c.1397A>G	N466S	DNA-binding	41	25.00	No	Yes	Yes	No	>800	No	Mild	4-6	No	>3	ND	Yes	ND	Mild	ND	ND	ND
46	F	15	ME	c.1397A>C	N466T	DNA-binding	66	36.67	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	ND	No	Moderate	>6	ND	>3	>20°	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
102	М	11	EU	c.1398C>G	N466K	DNA-binding	45	35.00	Yes	Yes	No	No	<700	No	Moderate	>6	Oral	>3	<10°	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
36	М	16	EU	c.1407G>T	Q469H	DNA-binding	57	56.67	Yes	No	No	Yes	ND	Yes	Severe	1-2	Oral	>3	<10°	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
57	F	18	EU	c.1771A>G	K591E	SH2	75	61.67	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	Yes	Severe	1-2	Nail	>3	<10°	Yes	Yes	Yes	ND	ND	ND
71	M	23	EU	c.1865C>1	16221 \$636V	SH2 SH2	4/	41.00	Ves	Yes	Yes	No	>800	NO	Mild	1-2	Oral	2	>20°	No	NO	Mild	ND Ves	Yes	ND
16	F	38	EU	c.1909G>A	V637M	SH2	68	55.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	>800	No	Severe	4-6	Nail	>3	ND	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
32	М	14	SA	c.1909G>A	V637M	SH2	37	25.00	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	<700	Yes	Moderate	3	No	2	<10°	No	No	Mild	Yes	No	Yes
33	М	24	SA	c.1909G>A	V637M	SH2	45	31.67	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<700	No	Moderate	4-6	Oral	0	<10°	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
42	F	11	ME	c.1909G>A	V637M	SH2	58	41.66	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	No	Moderate	1-2	No	>3	ND	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
45	M	9	ME	c.1909G>A	V637M	SH2	44	18.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	ND	Yes	Moderate	4-6	Oral	>3	<10°	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
67	M	12	EU	c.1909G>A	V637M	SH2	50	53.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	Yes	Severe	1-2	No	1	<10°	Yes	ND	Mild	No	Yes	No
106	M	3	EU	c.1909G>A	V637M	SH2	30	11.67	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	>800	No	Moderate	3	No	0	<10°	No	No	Mild	Yes	No	No
115	М	19	EU	c.1909G>A	V637M	SH2	56	68.33	Yes	Yes	ND	ND	ND	ND	Severe	ND	ND	>3	<10°	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
125	М	15	EU	c.1909G>A	V637M	SH2	58	45.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	Yes	Severe	4-6	No	ND	10-14°	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	ND
104	М	5	EU	c.1910T>C	V637A	SH2	72	50.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	>800	No	Severe	>6	Nail	>3	<10°	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
49	М	7	NA	c.1915T>C	P639S	SH2	55	35.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<700	Yes	Severe	4-6	Nail	>3	<10°	No	No	Mild	No	Yes	Yes
109	F	21	EU	c.1970A>G	Y657C	SH2	33	0.00	Yes	No	No	Yes	>800	No	Moderate	3	Nail	0	<10°	No	No	No	ND	No	No
27	M	14	SA	c.2003C>T	5668F	SH2 Transactionation	56	41.67	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	Yes	Moderate	4-6	Oral	2	<10°	Yes	NO	No	Yes	NO	No
105	M	22	EU	c.2129T>C	F710C	Transactivation	58	40.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	>800	Yes	Severe	1-2	Oral	2	<10°	No	No	Mild	Yes	Yes	No
116	M	8	EU	c.2141C>G	T714A	Transactivation	63	50.00	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	>800	Yes	Severe	>6	Oral	ND	10-14°	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	ND
96	М	9	EU	c.2144+1G>A	p.?	Transactivation	32	16.67	No	Yes	Yes	No	>800	ND	Moderate	1-2	No	ND	<10°	No	ND	Mild	ND	ND	No
																									-

TABLE E1. (Continued)

1	М	18	EU	No mutation 7	72	63.33	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	>800	Yes	Severe	>6	Nail	>3	10-14°	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
4	М	16	EU	No mutation 6	50	35.00	Yes	No	No	No	>800	No	Severe	3	Nail	>3	10-14°	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	М	30	EU	No mutation 4	49	25.00	Yes	No	No	No	700-800	Yes	Severe	4-6	Nail	>3	<10°	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
10	М	58	EU	No mutation 2	29	25.00	Yes	No	No	No	<700	Yes	Moderate	3	No	2	<10°	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
11	F	54	EU	No mutation 5	55	53.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	700-800	No	Moderate	>6	Nail	0	<10°	Yes	No	Mild	No	No	No
12	F	14	EU	No mutation 3	38	15.00	Yes	No	No	ND	700-800	Yes	Severe	4-6	No	3	<10°	No	No	Mild	Yes	No	No
14	F	33	EU	No mutation 4	47	21.67	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	<700	No	Severe	>6	No	0	10-14°	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
20	F	8	EU	No mutation 3	32	21.67	No	Yes	No	No	>800	No	Mild	1-2	No	3	<10°	No	No	Mild	Yes	Yes	No
21	М	8	EU	No mutation 4	49	26.67	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	>800	No	Severe	3	Oral	2	<10°	No	No	Mild	Yes	ND	No
22	F	18	EU	No mutation 4	43	25.00	Yes	No	No	No	>800	Yes	Severe	4-6	Nail	>3	<10°	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
28	М	15	SA	No mutation 4	44	28.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<700	Yes	Moderate	3	Oral	2	<10°	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
30	F	14	SA	No mutation 4	48	18.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	Yes	Moderate	4-6	Oral	ND	<10°	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
35	М	7	EU	No mutation 3	39	20.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	700-800	ND	Severe	ND	ND	0	<10°	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
38	М	20	EU	No mutation 5	57	35.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	ND	>800	Yes	Severe	1-2	No	2	<10°	No	Yes	Yes	No	ND	No
52	М	13	EU	No mutation 3	35	26.67	Yes	Yes	No	No	>800	No	Mild	1-2	No	0	<10°	No	No	Mild	No	No	No
54	М	22	EU	No mutation 2	29	20.00	No	Yes	No	No	>800	No	No	1-2	Oral	0	<10°	No	No	No	No	No	No
55	М	18	EU	No mutation 2	26	0.00	Yes	No	No	No	>800	No	Severe	1-2	No	0	15-20°	No	No	No	No	No	No
58	F	9	EU	No mutation 3	38	25.00	No	Yes	Yes	No	<700	Yes	Moderate	1-2	No	>3	<10°	No	No	Mild	ND	No	No
59	М	21	EU	No mutation 4	45	33.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<700	Yes	Moderate	ND	No	0	10-14°	No	No	ND	No	Yes	Yes
69	М	25	EU	No mutation 6	51	48.33	Yes	Yes	No	No	>800	Yes	Severe	4-6	Nail	>3	10-14°	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
76	F	29	ME	No mutation 4	42	20.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	<700	ND	Moderate	4-6	Nail	0	<10°	No	No	No	No	No	No
78	М	6	EU	No mutation 4	46	45.00	No	Yes	ND	No	>800	Yes	Severe	4-6	Systemic	ND	<10°	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
80	М	38	ME	No mutation 4	48	20.00	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	>800	ND	Severe	4-6	Systemic	ND	<10°	No	No	No	No	No	No
81	F	8	ME	No mutation 4	44	26.67	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	>800	ND	Moderate	3	Nail	0	<10°	No	No	Mild	Yes	No	No
82	М	10	ME	No mutation 6	53	28.33	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	Yes	Moderate	>6	Systemic	3	<10°	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
83	М	9	ME	No mutation 4	40	21.67	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	ND	Moderate	4-6	Nail	0	<10°	No	ND	Mild	ND	No	No
88	М	15	ME	No mutation 5	53	26.67	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	>800	ND	Severe	4-6	No	ND	ND	ND	Yes	Mild	Yes	ND	ND
90	М	15	EU	No mutation 2	29	11.67	Yes	No	No	No	700-800	No	Severe	3	No	2	10-14°	No	No	Mild	Yes	Yes	No
97	М	4	EU	No mutation 3	39	20.00	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	>800	Yes	Severe	1-2	Oral	0	ND	No	ND	Mild	ND	ND	No
105	F	7	EU	No mutation 1	19	13.33	No	No	No	No	<700	No	Mild	1-2	No	0	<10°	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
112	М	4	EU	No mutation 2	21	6.67	Yes	No	ND	No	700-800	ND	Severe	ND	ND	ND	ND	No	ND	Mild	ND	ND	No
113	F	5	EU	No mutation 3	39	20.00	No	No	No	Yes	>800	Yes	Severe	1-2	No	0	<10°	No	Yes	Mild	Yes	Yes	ND
122	М	11	EU	No mutation 2	29	10.00	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	<700	No	Moderate	3	No	0	<10°	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
123	F	24	EU	No mutation 3	30	6.67	Yes	No	No	Yes	<700	No	Severe	1-2	No	2	<10°	No	No	Mild	No	No	No
124	М	1‡	EU	No mutation 2	22	5.00	No	No	No	No	>800	No	Severe	1-2	ND	0	<10°	No	ND	No	ND	Yes	No
127	F	6	EU	No mutation 4	49	26.67	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	>800	No	Severe	1-2	Oral	2	<10°	No	No	Mild	Yes	Yes	Yes

EU, Europe; F, female; M, male; ME, Middle East; NA, North America; ND, not determined; SA, South America; p.2, unknown effect on protein level.

Patients positive for the 5 cardinal clinical features (recurrent pneumonia, newborn rash, pathologic bone fractures, a characteristic face, and a cathedral palate) are shaded in *blue*. Patients with a mild facial phenotype are shaded in *light blue*.

*Scoring system described in Grimbacher et al.4

†Weighted score based on the 5 features (recurrent pneumonia, newborn rash, pathologic bone fractures, a characteristic face, and a cathedral palate) shown in Table E4. A total number of scaled points greater than 30 predicts the presence of a *STAT3* mutation. Patients with a score greater than 30 are shaded in *gray*.

‡UPN 124 was scored 8 days before his first birthday.

TABLE E2. Association of NIH-HIES score with mutation status

Kind of mutation	Patients positive	Patients negative	Rank-sum <i>P</i> value
Any mutation in STAT3	64	36	3.9e-7
DNA binding domain	42	22	.094
SH2 domain	17	47	NS

The first column indicates the kind of mutations considered, the second column shows the number of patients positive for that mutation, and the third column shows the number of patients negative for that mutation. The fourth column is the *P* value of a Wilcoxon rank-sum test against the hypothesis that both groups have the same distribution of score. The rank-sum test for the first row includes all 100 patients in our cohort; the other 2 rows include only the 64 mutation-positive patients.

TABLE E3.	The 11	features that	occur	more than	once in the	e 12 feature	sets with	best leav	/e-1-out accuracy
-----------	--------	---------------	-------	-----------	-------------	--------------	-----------	-----------	-------------------

Feature	Times included	Weight signs	P value
Recurrent pneumonia	11	Positive	.002
Lung cyst formation	3	Positive	.001
Other unusual infections	3	Mixed	NS
Newborn rash	10	Positive	NS
Upper respiratory tract infections	4	Negative	NS
Scoliosis	3	Positive	NS
Pathologic bone fractures	12	Positive	NS
Characteristic face for Job syndrome	12	Positive	.002
Increased interalar distance	5	Mixed	NS
Cathedral palate	10	Positive	NS
Midline anomaly	2	Negative	NS

The second column shows the number of sets that include the feature. The third column indicates whether the weight assigned the feature is positive or negative in each of 12 SVM classifiers in which that feature occurs. The features "increased interalar distance" and "other unusual infections" were sometimes assigned positive and sometimes assigned negative weights. The fourth column is the P value of the null hypothesis that the slope of the logistic regression function for the indicated feature is 0. The sign of the logistic regression function was positive for the features recurrent pneumonia, lung cyst formation, and characteristic face for Job syndrome.

TABLE E4. HIES STAT3 score

<u>ST</u>	AT3-Score: Patient DOB:		Scoring d	ate:	0	Gender:							
		Points											
	Clinical findings	0	2	4	5	6	8						
1.	Pneumonias (X-ray proven, total #)	none	1	2	-	3	>3						
2.	Newborn rash	absent	-	present	-	-	-						
3.	Pathologic bone fractures	none	-	1-2	-	-	>2						
4.	Characteristic face for Job syndrome	absent	mild	-	present	-	-						
5.	Cathedral palate	absent	present	-	-	-	-						

		Points	×	Scale	Scaled Points
1.	Pneumonias			2.5	
2.	Newborn rash			2.08	
3.	Pathologic bone fractures			3.33	
4.	Characteristic face for Job syndrome			3.33	
5.	Cathedral palate			2.5	
	Total (Sum 1-5) Scaled Points				

This score sheet is intended to help predict whether a patient already known to have serum IgE above 1000 IU/mL is likely to have a mutation in

STAT3. A total number of scaled points greater than 30 predicts a STAT3 mutation is likely.

An example scoring a hypothetical patient with 3 pneumonias, newborn rash, no fractures, a characteristic face and normal palate:

<u>STAT3-Score</u>: Patient <u>B.G.</u> DOB: <u>23.01.1967</u> Scoring date: <u>22.08.2008</u> Gender: <u>M</u>

		<u>Points</u>					
	Clinical findings	0	2	4	5	6	8
1.	Pneumonias (X-ray proven, total #)	none	1	2	-	3	>3
2.	Newborn rash	absent	-	oresent	-	-	-
3.	Pathologic bone fractures	none	-	1-2	-	-	>2
4.	Characteristic face for Job syndrome	absent	mild	-	present	-	-
5.	Cathedral palate	absent	present	-	-	-	-

		Points ×	Scale	Scaled Points
1.	Pneumonias	6	2.5	15
2.	Newborn rash	4	2.08	8.32
3.	Pathologic bone fractures	0	3.33	0
4.	Characteristic face for Job syndrome	5	3.33	16.65
5.	Cathedral palate	0	2.5	0
	Total (Sum 1-5) Scaled Points			39.97

The score 39.97 is above the threshold of 30, and so the patient is predicted to have a *STAT3* mutation. Because the hypothetical patient does not have fractures or a cathedral palate, this shows that not all 5 of the features need to have a high score for the total weighted score to be above the threshold.

TABLE E5. Effectiveness of the best SVM classifier by age range in our cohort of 100 patients

	Error	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive	Negative
Age 0-8 y	10	88.9	90.9	9	11
Age 9-16 y	13.2	85.2	90.3	27	11
Age 17-30 y	21.2	87.0	60.0	23	10
Age 31+ y	11.1	100.0	75.0	5	4

The first column shows an age range for each row, where age is determined at the date of scoring. The second, third, and fourth columns show the error rate, the sensitivity, and the specificity of the SVM classifier when applied to the subset of 100 patients in the given age range. The fifth and sixth columns list the number of individuals in the given age range that are either positive or negative for a *STAT3* mutation.